22 MAY 23 PM 2: 48

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 6 EPA REGION VI 1201 Elm Street, Suite 500

Dallas, Texas 75270

In the Matter of	§	
	§	¥1
Martin Operating Partnership L.P.,	§	Docket No. CAA-06-2022-3320
	§	19
Smackover, Arkansas	§	
	§	
Respondent.	§	

## **CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER**

#### **Preliminary Statement**

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6 ("EPA" or "Complainant"), and Martin Operating Partnership L.P. ("Respondent") have agreed to a settlement of this action before the filing of a complaint, and thus this action is simultaneously commenced and concluded pursuant to Rules 22.13(b) and 22.18(b)(2) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits, 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.13(b) and 22.18(b)(2).

#### Jurisdiction

- 1. This proceeding is an administrative action for the assessment of civil penalties instituted pursuant to Section 113(d) of the Clean Air Act ("CAA"), 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d). Pursuant to Section 113(d) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d), the Administrator and the Attorney General jointly determined that this matter, which involved a longer period of violation, was appropriate for administrative penalty action.
- 2. This Consent Agreement and Final Order serves as notice that the EPA has reason to believe that Respondent has violated the Chemical Accident Prevention Provisions in

40 C.F.R. Part 68, promulgated pursuant to Section 112(r) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r), and that Respondent is therefore in violation of Section 112(r)(7) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(7). Furthermore, this Consent Agreement and Final Order serves as notice pursuant to Section 113(d)(2)(A) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d)(2)(A), and 40 C.F.R. § 22.34, of the EPA's intent to issue an order assessing penalties for these violations.

#### **Parties**

- Complainant is the Director of the Enforcement and Compliance Assurance
   Division of EPA, Region 6, as duly delegated by the Administrator of the EPA and the Regional
   Administrator, EPA, Region 6.
- 4. Respondent is Martin Operating Partnership L.P., a limited partnership established in the state of Delaware and conducting business in the state of Arkansas,

#### Statutory and Regulatory Background

- 5. On November 15, 1990, the President signed into law the CAA Amendments of 1990. The Amendments added Section 112(r) to Title I of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r). The objective of Section 112(r) is to minimize the consequences of any such release of any substance listed pursuant to Section 112(r)(3) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(3), or any other extremely hazardous substance.
- 6. Section 112(r)(3) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(3), mandates the Administrator to promulgate a list of regulated substances which, in the case of an accidental release, are known to cause or may reasonably be anticipated to cause death, injury, or serious adverse effects to human health or the environment. Section 112(r)(5) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(5), mandates that the Administrator establish a threshold quantity for any substance listed pursuant to Section 112(r)(3) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(3). The list of regulated

substances and respective threshold quantities is codified at 40 C.F.R. § 68.130.

- 7. Section 112(r)(7) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(7), requires the Administrator to promulgate regulations that address release prevention, detection, and correction requirements for stationary sources with threshold quantities of regulated substances listed pursuant to Section 112(r)(3) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(3). On June 20, 1996, EPA promulgated a final rule known as the Risk Management Program, 40 C.F.R. Part 68 Chemical Accident Prevention Provisions, which implements Section 112(r)(7) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(7).
- 8. The regulations at 40 C.F.R. Part 68 require owners and operators to develop and implement a Risk Management Program at each stationary source with over a threshold quantity of regulated substances. The Risk Management Program must include, among other things, a hazard assessment, a prevention program, and an emergency response program. The Risk Management Program is described in a Risk Management Plan ("RMP") that must be submitted to the EPA.
- 9. Pursuant to Section 112(r)(7) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(7), and 40 C.F.R. § 68.150, an RMP must be submitted for all covered processes by the owner or operator of a stationary source subject to 40 C.F.R. Part 68 no later than the latter of June 21, 1999, or the date on which a regulated substance is first present above the threshold quantity in a process.
- 10. The regulations at 40 C.F.R. § 68.10 set forth how the Chemical Accident Prevention Provisions of 40 C.F.R. Part 68 apply to each program level of covered processes. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 68.10(i), a covered process is subject to Program 3 requirements if the process does not meet the requirements of Program 1, as described in 40 C.F.R. § 68.10(g), and if it is in a specified North American Industrial Classification System code or is subject to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) process safety management standard,

29 C.F.R. 1910.119.

11. Section 113(d) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d), states that the Administrator may issue an administrative order against any person assessing a civil administrative penalty of up to \$25,000 per day of violation whenever, on the basis of any available information, the Administrator finds that such person has violated or is violating any requirement or prohibition of Section 112(r) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r), and its implementing regulations. The Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996, 31 U.S.C. § 3701, as amended, and the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015, 28 U.S.C. § 2461, and implementing regulations at 40 C.F.R. Part 19, increased these statutory maximum penalties to \$37,500 for violations that occurred before November 2, 2015, and to \$48,762 for violations that occur after November 2, 2015, and are assessed after December 23, 2020.

#### **Definitions**

- 12. Section 302(e) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7602(e), defines "person" to include any individual, corporation, partnership, association, State, municipality, political subdivision of a State, and any agency department, or instrumentality of the United States and any officer, agent, or employee thereof.
- 13. Section 112(r)(2)(A) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(2)(A), and the regulation at 40 C.F.R. § 68.3 defines "accidental release" as an unanticipated emission of a regulated substance or other extremely hazardous substance into the ambient air from a stationary source.
- 14. Section 112(r)(2)(C) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(2)(C), and the regulation at 40 C.F.R. § 68.3 defines "stationary source," in part, as any buildings, structures, equipment, installations, or substance-emitting stationary activities which belong to the same industrial group, which are located on one or more contiguous properties, which are under the control of

the same person (or persons under common control), and from which an accidental release may occur.

- 15. Section 112(r)(2)(B) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(2)(B), and the regulation at 40 C.F.R. § 68.3 define "regulated substance" as any substance listed pursuant to Section 112(r)(3) of the CAA, as amended, in 40 C.F.R. § 68.130.
- 16. The regulation at 40 C.F.R. § 68.3 defines "RMP" as the risk management plan required under subpart G of 40 C.F.R. Part 68.
- 17. The regulation at 40 C.F.R. § 68.3 defines "threshold quantity" as the quantity specified for regulated substances pursuant to Section 112(r)(5) of the CAA, as amended, listed in 40 C.F.R. § 68.130 and determined to be present at a stationary source as specified in 40 C.F.R. § 68.115.
- 18. The regulation at 40 C.F.R. § 68.3 defines "process" as any activity involving a regulated substance including any use, storage, manufacturing, handling or on-site movement of such substances, or combination of these activities. For the purposes of this definition, any group of vessels that are interconnected, or separate vessels that are located such that a regulated substance could be involved in a potential release, shall be considered a single process.
- 19. The regulation at 40 C.F.R. § 68.3 defines "covered process" as a process that has a regulated substance present in more than a threshold quantity as determined under 40 C.F.R. § 68.115.

#### **EPA Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law**

- 20. Respondent is, and at all times referred to herein was, a "person" as defined by Section 302(e) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7602(e).
  - 21. Respondent is the owner and operator of a facility located at: 484 East 6th Street,

Smackover, Arkansas 71762 (the "Facility").

- 22. Pursuant to Section 114 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7414, the EPA conducted an inspection of the Facility February 4 6, 2020, to determine Respondent's compliance with Section 112(r) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r), and 40 C.F.R. Part 68 (the "Inspection").
- 23. On April 27, 2021, the EPA contacted Respondent relating to the violations identified in this CAFO. Respondent and Complainant entered into a series of conversations, including the exchange of information and relevant documents. Meetings took place on April 27 and July 20, 2021. As a part of these conversations, Respondent informed Complainant that, on June 5, 2020, the EPA acknowledged that Respondent's Facility had been de-registered and no longer under RMP. As a result of these communications the EPA's position concerning Respondent's compliance with Section 112(r) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r) changed. Respondent and Complainant have agreed to resolve the remaining violations through this CAFO and an associated Administrative Order.
- 24. The Facility is a "stationary source" pursuant to Section 112(r)(2)(C) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(2)(C), and the regulation at 40 C.F.R. § 68.3.
- 25. Respondent has a Naptha storage and transfer process, at the Facility, meeting the definition of "process", as defined by 40 C.F.R. § 68.3.
- 26. Isopentane, and pentane ("flammable mixture components") are a "regulated substance" pursuant to Section 112(r)(2)(B) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(2)(B), and the regulation at 40 C.F.R. § 68.3. The threshold quantity for flammable mixture components, as listed in 40 C.F.R. § 68.130, is 10,000 pounds.
- 27. Respondent has greater than a threshold quantity of flammable mixture components in a process at the Facility, meeting the definition of "covered process" as defined

by 40 C.F.R. § 68.3.

- 28. From the time Respondent first had on-site greater than a threshold quantity of flammable mixture components in a process, Respondent was subject to the requirements of Section 112(r)(7) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r)(7), and 40 C.F.R. Part 68 because it was the owner or operator of a stationary source that had more than a threshold quantity of a regulated substance in a process.
- 29. From the time Respondent first had on-site greater than a threshold quantity of flammable mixture components in a process, Respondent was required to submit an RMP pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 68.12(a) and comply with the Program 3 prevention requirements because pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 68.10(i), the covered processes at the Facility did not meet the eligibility requirements of Program 1 and is in North American Industry Classification System code 32411.

#### **EPA Findings of Violation**

- 30. The facts stated in the EPA Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law above are herein incorporated.
- 31. Complainant hereby states and alleges that Respondent has violated the CAA and federal regulations promulgated thereunder as follows:

#### Count 1 – 40 C.F.R. § 68.73 - Failure to implement operating procedures

- 32. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 68.73(b), "(b) Written procedures. The owner operator shall establish and implement written procedures to maintain the on-going integrity of process equipment."
- 33. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 68.73(d)(1), "(d) Inspection and testing. (1) Inspections and tests shall be performed on process equipment."

- 34. Pursuant 40 C.F.R. § 68.73(e), "(e) Equipment deficiencies. The owner or operator shall correct deficiencies in equipment that are outside acceptable limits (defined by the process safety information in § 68.65) before further use or in a safe and timely manner, when necessary, means are taken to assure safe operation."
- 35. Respondent did not properly implement operating procedures by not providing information on how the facility would correct deficiencies in equipment that were outside acceptable limits, as defined by the process safety information, before further use, or in a safe and timely manner, when necessary, means were taken to assure safe operations.
- 36. Respondent also did not address recommendations from the inspections conducted for the pressure vessel.
- 37. Respondent also was unable to provide inspection records for process piping and pressure safety valves on Equipment V7131, V7132, and V7133 in the Naphtha Storage Area.
- 38. Following EPA's inspection, Respondent provided copies of the inspection records for the process piping in the Naphtha Storage Area.
- All of the information provided in Para. 35 37 above are violations of 40 C.F.R.
   § 68.73.

#### Count 2 - 40 C.F.R. § 68.79(a) - Failure to timely address audit findings

- 40. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 68.79(a), "(a) The owner or operator shall certify that they have evaluated compliance with the provisions of this subpart for each covered process, at least every three years to verify that the procedures and practices developed under the rule are adequate and are being followed. When required as set forth in paragraph (f) of this section, the compliance audit shall be a third-party audit."
  - 41. According to the inspection report, some of the due dates from the compliance

audit had passed prior to completing the recommendations, which is a violation of 40 C.F.R. § 68.79(a).

# CONSENT AGREEMENT

- 42. For the purpose of this proceeding, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(2), Respondent:
  - a. admits the jurisdictional allegations set forth herein;
  - b. neither admits nor denies the specific factual allegations stated herein;
  - c. consents to the assessment of a civil penalty, as stated herein;
  - d. consents to the issuance of any specified compliance or corrective action order;
  - e. consents to any conditions specified herein;
  - f. consents to any stated Permit Action;
  - g. waives any right to contest the allegations set forth herein; and
  - h. waives its rights to appeal the Final Order accompanying this Consent Agreement.
- 43. Respondent consents to the issuance of this Consent Agreement and Final Order and consents for the purposes of settlement to the payment of the civil penalty specified herein.
- 44. Respondent and EPA agree to conciliate this matter without the necessity of a formal hearing and to bear their respective costs and attorneys' fees.

## **Penalty Payment**

- 45. Respondent agrees that, in settlement of the claims alleged herein, Respondent shall pay a civil penalty of Thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000), as set forth below.
  - 46. Respondent shall pay the penalty within thirty (30) days of the effective date of

the Final Order. Such payment shall identify Respondent by name and docket number and shall be by certified or cashier's check made payable to the "United States Treasury" and sent to:

> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Fines and Penalties Cincinnati Finance Center PO Box 979077 St. Louis, Missouri 63197-9000

or by alternate payment method described at http://www.epa.gov/financial/makepayment.

47. A copy of the check or other information confirming payment shall simultaneously be sent to the following:

Lorena S. Vaughn
Regional Hearing Clerk
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6
1201 Elm Street, Suite 500 (ORC)
Dallas, Texas 75270-2102
Vaughn.Lorena@epa.gov; and

Jeffrey Clay
Assistant Regional Counsel, RCRA & Toxics Enforcement Branch
Office of Regional Counsel (ORC-ER)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6
1201 Elm St. Suite 500
Dallas, Texas 75270
Clay.Jeffrey@epa.gov

48. Respondent understands that its failure to timely pay any portion of the civil penalty may result in the commencement of a civil action in Federal District Court to recover the full remaining balance, along with penalties and accumulated interest. In such case, interest shall begin to accrue on a civil or stipulated penalty from the date of delinquency until such civil or stipulated penalty and any accrued interest are paid in full. 31 C.F.R. § 901.9(b)(1). Interest will be assessed at a rate of the United States Treasury Tax and loan rates in accordance with 31 U.S.C. § 3717. Additionally, a charge will be assessed to cover the costs of debt collection including processing and handling costs, and a non-payment penalty charge of six percent (6%)

per year compounded annually will be assessed on any portion of the debt which remains delinquent more than ninety (90) days after payment is due. 31 U.S.C. § 3717(e)(2).

# Effect of Settlement and Reservation of Rights

- 49. Full payment of the penalty proposed in this Consent Agreement shall only resolve Respondent's liability for federal civil penalties for the violations alleged herein. Complainant reserves the right to take any enforcement action with respect to any other violations of the CAA or any other applicable law.
- 50. The effect of settlement described in the immediately preceding paragraph is conditioned upon the accuracy of Respondent's representations to the EPA, as memorialized in paragraph directly below.
- 51. Respondent certifies by the signing of this Consent Agreement that it is presently in compliance with all requirements of Section 112(r) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r), save and except as reflected in the Administrative Order for Compliance on Consent, Docket No. CAA-06-2022-3318. Fulfillment of the terms of the Administrative Order for Compliance on Consent is intended to bring Respondent into full compliance with Section 112(r) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(r).
- 52. Full payment of the penalty proposed in this Consent Agreement shall not in any case affect the right of the Agency or the United States to pursue appropriate injunctive or other equitable relief or criminal sanctions for any violations of law. This Consent Agreement and Final Order does not waive, extinguish, or otherwise affect Respondent's obligation to comply with all applicable provisions of the CAA and regulations promulgated thereunder.
- Complainant reserves the right to enforce the terms and conditions of this Consent
   Agreement and Final Order.

# General Provisions

54. By signing this Consent Agreement, the undersigned representative of Respondent certifies that it is fully authorized to execute and enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Agreement and has the legal capacity to bind the party it represents to this Consent Agreement.

55. This Consent Agreement shall not dispose of the proceeding without a final order from the Regional Judicial Officer or Regional Administrator ratifying the terms of this Consent Agreement. This Consent Agreement and Final Order shall be effective upon filing of the Final Order by the Regional Hearing Clerk for EPA, Region 6. Unless otherwise stated, all time periods stated herein shall be calculated in calendar days from such date.

- 56. The penalty specified herein shall represent civil penalties assessed by EPA and shall not be deductible for purposes of Federal, State, and local taxes.
- 57. This Consent Agreement and Final Order shall apply to and be binding upon Respondent and Respondent's agents, successors and/or assigns. Respondent shall ensure that all contractors, employees, consultants, firms, or other persons or entities acting for Respondent with respect to matters included herein comply with the terms of this Consent Agreement and Final Order.
- 58. The EPA and Respondent agree to the use of electronic signatures for this matter pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.6. The EPA and Respondent further agree to electronic service of this Consent Agreement and Final Order by email to the following:

To EPA: Clay.Jeffrey@epa.gov

To Respondent: Michael.Foley@martinmlp.com

# RESPONDENT:

MARTIN OPERATING PARTNERSHIP L.P.

Date: 5/19/2022

Signature

Print Name

Title

# **COMPLAINANT:**

## U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Cheryl J. Seagn Digitally signed by CHERYL SEAGER Date: 2022.05.23 11:11:03 -05'00'

Cheryl T. Seager Director Enforcement and

Compliance Assurance Division

U.S. EPA, Region 6

### FINAL ORDER

Pursuant to Section 113(d) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d), and the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/
Termination or Suspension of Permits, 40 C.F.R. Part 22, the foregoing Consent Agreement resolving this matter is hereby ratified and incorporated by reference into this Final Order.

Respondent is ORDERED to comply with all of the terms of the Consent Agreement. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.31(b), the effective date of the foregoing Consent Agreement and this Final Order is the date on which this Final Order is filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

This Final Order shall resolve only those causes of action alleged in the Consent

Agreement. Nothing in this Final Order shall be construed to waive, extinguish, or otherwise

affect Respondent's (or its officers, agents, servants, employees, successors, or assigns)

obligation to comply with all applicable federal, state, and local statutes and regulations,
including the regulations that were the subject of this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

THOMAS RUCKI Digitally signed by THOMAS RUCKI DN: e-US, e-U S. Government, ou-Environmental Protection Agency, on-THOMAS RUCKI, 0.9 2342-19200300.100.1.1=68001003655804 Date: 2022 05 23 14 27 12 -0500\*

Thomas Rucki

Regional Judicial Officer

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Consent Agreement and Final Order was delivered to the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S. EPA, Region 6, 1201 Elm Street, Dallas, Texas 75270-2102, and that a true and correct copy was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees:

Copy via Email to Complainant:

Clay.Jeffrey@epa.gov

Copy via Email to Respondent:

Michael.Foley@martinmlp.com

Michael Foley Assistant General Counsel Martin Resource Management Corporation Martin Operating Partnership L.P. 4200B Stone Road Kilgore, Texas 75662

Copy via Email to Regional Hearing Clerk:

vaughn.lorena@epa.gov

Office of Regional Counsel U.S. EPA, Region 6