

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 2
290 Broadway
New York, New York 10007-1866

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY-REG. II
2011 MAR 24 P 1:16
REGIONAL HEARING
CLERK

-----X
IN THE MATTER OF :
 :
 :
 Beach Point Club : Proceeding to Assess Class I
 900 Rushmore Avenue : Civil Penalty Under Section
 Mamaroneck, New York 10543-4599 : 309(g) of the Clean Water Act
 :
 :
 Proceeding pursuant to §309(g) of the : Docket No. CWA-02-2011-3315
 Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §1319(g) :
 :
-----X

COMPLAINT
FINDINGS OF VIOLATION, NOTICE OF PROPOSED
ASSESSMENT OF A CIVIL PENALTY, AND
NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING

I. Statutory Authority

1. This Complaint, Findings of Violation, Notice of Proposed Assessment of a Civil Penalty, and Notice of Opportunity to Request a Hearing ("Complaint") is issued under the authority vested in the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") by Section 309(g)(2)(A) of the Clean Water Act ("Act"), 33 U.S.C. §1319(g)(2)(A). The Administrator has delegated this authority to the Regional Administrator of EPA, Region 2, who in turn has delegated it to the Director, Division of Enforcement and Compliance Assistance ("DECA") of EPA, Region 2 ("Complainant").
2. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(2)(A) of the Act, and in accordance with the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits" ("CROP"), 40 Code of Federal Regulations ("C.F.R.") Part 22 (July 1, 2000), a copy of which is attached, Complainant hereby requests that the Regional Administrator assess a civil penalty against Beach Point Club ("Respondent") for its violations of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") requirements pursuant to Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1342, and Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1311(a).

II. Findings of Violation

3. Respondent is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York and is a "person" within the meaning of Section 502(5) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1362(5).
4. At all times relevant to this Administrative Complaint, Respondent operated a facility located at 900 Rushmore Avenue, Mamaroneck, New York (the "facility") where the facility is primarily involved in the operation of a marina/yacht club.

5. The Respondent has discharged storm water associated with industrial activity via the facility's storm drain system into the Mamaroneck Harbor/Long Island Sound, a navigable water of the United States pursuant to Section 502(7) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1362(7). Respondent has been in operation since or before 1990.
6. At all times relevant to this Administrative Complaint, Respondent was a "source" within the meaning of Section 306(a)(3) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1316(a)(3).
7. Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1311(a), provides, in part that the discharge of any pollutants by any person from a point source to a navigable water of the United States shall be unlawful except in accordance with the terms and conditions of a duly issued permit.
8. Respondent is subject to National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") requirements pursuant to Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1342 and Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1311(a).
9. Section 308 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1318, provides, in relevant part, that the Administrator of EPA may require the owner or operator of any point source to, among other things: maintain records; make reports; install, use and monitor equipment; sample effluents; and provide other information as may reasonably be required in order to carry out Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1342.
10. Section 402 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §1342, authorizes the Administrator of EPA to issue a NPDES permit for the discharge of any pollutant, or combination of pollutants subject to certain requirements of the CWA and conditions which the Administrator determines are necessary. The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation ("NYSDEC") is the agency with the authority to administer the federal NPDES program in New York pursuant to Section 402 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §1342. EPA maintains concurrent enforcement authority with authorized states for violations of the CWA. Additionally, under the authority granted to the NYSDEC by the EPA under Section 402(b) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §1342(b), a State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("SPDES") permit is required to be issued to facilities by the NYSDEC for the discharge of pollutants from said facilities from a point source to a navigable water of the United States.
11. Section 402(p) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §1342(p) sets forth the requirements for the discharge of storm water.
12. The terms "Industrial Storm Water Permit" and "Multi Sector General Storm Water Permit" or "MSGP" mean the SPDES Multi-Sector General Permit, Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity. The current effective MSGP, denoted as, GP-0-06-002 was issued by the NYSDEC, pursuant to Section 402 of the Clean Water Act, and became effective on March 28, 2007 and will expire on March 27, 2012. The previous effective MSGP denoted as GP-98-03 became effective on November 1, 1998 and expired on November 1, 2003 but was administratively extended by the NYSDEC until the issuance of the current MSGP.

13. The Administrator of EPA has promulgated regulations, 40 CFR §122.26(a)(1)(ii) and 122.26(b)(14), which require operators to obtain a NPDES permit for storm water discharges associated with industrial activity. The regulations at 40 CFR §122.26(b)(14) establish requirements for storm water discharges associated with industrial activity.
14. Respondent has conducted industrial activity under SIC Code 4493 and therefore is regulated under 40 CFR 122.26 and the NYSDEC MSGP.
15. The SPDES MSGP and regulations for storm water discharges at 40 CFR §122.26(b)(14) apply to this facility.
16. Stormwater runoff from the Facility discharges to the Mamaroneck Harbor/Long Island Sound, a water of the United States, pursuant to Section 502(7) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §1362(7).
17. Operators regulated under 40 CFR §122.26(b)(14) must seek MSGP coverage by filing a Notice of Intent or Termination (“NOIT”) form under the terms and conditions of the MSGP GP-0-06-002 (or previous MSGP, GP-98-03), or if all of the conditions of No Exposure certification apply, they must be submitted in accordance with 40 CFR §122.26.
18. Respondent filed a NOIT dated August 19, 1993 and subsequently re-filed on August 20, 1993 with the NYSDEC to obtain coverage under the MSGP regulations denoted as GP-93-05. Under GP-93-05 Part I.D.2, operators were authorized to discharge stormwater under the terms and conditions of the permit two (2) days after the NOIT was postmarked. Respondent was issued by the NYSDEC authorization under the MSGP (GP-95-03) via a permit (Permit Identification Number: NYR00A511).
19. Respondent filed a NOIT dated November 9, 1998 to obtain coverage under the MSGP regulations denoted as GP-98-03. Under GP-98-03 Part I.D.2, operators were authorized to discharge stormwater under the terms and conditions of the permit two (2) days after the NOIT was postmarked.
20. Respondent was issued by the NYSDEC renewed authorization under the MSGP (GP-98-03) via a permit (Permit Identification Number: NYR00A511) on November 11, 1998.
21. Under MSGP (GP-98-03), Part V.B.3.d., Respondent was required, at minimum, to monitor annually, storm water discharges associated with industrial activity for the parameters Oil and Grease, Chemical Oxygen Demand, Total Suspended Solids and pH. Retention of all information pertaining to the annual monitoring event shall be a six (6) year period from the date of sample collection or for the term of the permit, whichever is greater as defined in Part V.B.3. and V.E. of the MSGP (GP-98-03).
22. Records review by EPA during the inspection of August 19, 2010 of the Respondent’s facility indicate that no storm water monitoring was conducted at any time on site, as required under MSGP.

23. As noted in Paragraph 12 above, the MSGP denoted as GP-98-03 expired on November 1, 2003 but was administratively extended by the NYSDEC until the issuance of the current MSGP (GP-0-06-002) with an effective date of March 28, 2007. Facilities covered under MSGP (GP-98-03) were required to renew MSGP coverage under GP-0-06-002. To date, Respondent failed to renew the coverage as required.
24. Facilities covered under MSGP (GP-98-03) were notified by the NYSDEC to renew coverage via renewal notices. Three separate notices were issued to Respondent as indicated below:
- NYSDEC renewal notice entitled, "NOIT Submission Required for Continued Permit Authorization", dated January 29, 2007.
 - NYSDEC renewal notice entitled, "Final Notice, Notice Of Intent or Termination (NOIT) To Obtain Coverage For Stormwater Discharge Associated With Industrial Activities Under Revised Permit GP-0-06-002 Must Be Filed Immediately", dated March 3, 2008.
 - NYSDEC renewal notice entitled, "Failure to Renew Coverage Under SPDES Multi-Sector General Permit For Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity (GP-0-06-002)", dated July 28, 2008.
25. Respondent was included in the NYSDEC database of GP-98-03 permittees that was used to mail the three notices cited in Paragraph 24 above. To date, no response to the notices has been received by the NYSDEC from Respondent.
26. EPA conducted a compliance evaluation inspection of the facility on August 19, 2010 to determine compliance with the requirements cited above. During the inspection of August 19, 2010, the following information was obtained:
- a) Respondent is primarily involved in the operation of a marina/yacht club.
 - b) Storm water from the facility property is discharged into Mamaroneck Harbor/Long Island Sound via storm drains.
 - c) Respondent has discharged storm water from its facility since, at least, 1993.
 - d) Visual inspection indicates that general housekeeping and the implementation of Best Management Practices to minimize storm water contamination from marina and maintenance operations including pool maintenance on site must be initiated.
 - e) The discharge of storm water directly to a navigable water of the United States (Mamaroneck Harbor/Long Island Sound) is noted.
 - f) Respondent failed to renew and implement a SPDES General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity including submittal of a NOIT and preparation/implementation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for the discharges noted in b, and e above.

27. Based upon Paragraphs 1-26 above, Respondent has violated federal NPDES requirements in violation of the Act and its implementing regulations pursuant to §301, §308 and §402 of the CWA.

III. Notice of Proposed Order Assessing a Civil Penalty

Based on the foregoing Findings of Violation, and pursuant to the authority of Section 309(g) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1319(g), and the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996, EPA, Region 2 hereby proposes to issue a Final Order Assessing Administrative Penalties ("Final Order") to Respondent assessing a penalty of \$16,000. EPA determined the proposed penalty after taking into account the applicable factors identified at Section 309(g)(3) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1319(g)(3). EPA has taken account of the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violations, and Respondent's prior compliance history, degree of culpability, economic benefit or savings accruing to Respondent by virtue of the violations, and Respondent's ability to pay the proposed penalty. Based on the Findings set forth above, the Respondent has been found to have violated the Act in instances cited in Section II of this Administrative Penalty Order.

IV. Procedures Governing This Administrative Litigation

The rules of procedure governing this civil administrative litigation have been set forth in the CROP which have been codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 22. A copy of these rules accompanies this Complaint.

A. Answering The Complaint

Where Respondent intends to contest any material fact upon which the Complaint is based, to contend that the proposed penalty is inappropriate or to contend that Respondent is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, Respondent must file with the Regional Hearing Clerk of EPA, Region 2, both an original and one copy of a written answer to the Complaint, and such Answer must be filed within thirty (30) days after service of the Complaint. 40 C.F.R. §22.15(a). The address of the Regional Hearing Clerk of EPA, Region 2, is:

**Regional Hearing Clerk
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2
290 Broadway, 16th floor
New York, New York 10007-1866**

Respondent shall also then serve one copy of the Answer to the Complaint upon Complainant and any other party to the action. 40 C.F.R. §22.15(a).

Respondent's Answer to the Complaint must clearly and directly admit, deny, or explain each of the factual allegations that are contained in the Complaint and with regard to which Respondent has any knowledge. 40 C.F.R. §22.15(b). Where Respondent lacks knowledge of a particular factual allegation and so states in their Answer, the allegation is deemed denied. 40 C.F.R. §22.15(b). The Answer shall also set forth: (1) the circumstances or arguments that are alleged to constitute the grounds of defense, (2) the facts that Respondent disputes (and thus intends to place at issue in the proceeding), (3) the basis for opposing the proposed relief and (4) whether Respondent requests a hearing. 40 C.F.R. §22.15(b).

Respondent's failure to affirmatively raise in the Answer facts that constitute or that might constitute the grounds of its defense may preclude the Respondent, at a subsequent stage in this proceeding, from raising such facts and/or from having such facts admitted into evidence at a hearing.

B. Opportunity To Request A Hearing

If requested by Respondent in its Answer, a hearing upon the issues raised by the Complaint and Answer may be held. 40 C.F.R. §22.15(c). If, however, Respondent does not request a hearing, the Presiding Officer (as defined in 40 C.F.R. §22.3) may hold a hearing if the Answer raises issues appropriate for adjudication. 40 C.F.R. §22.15(c).

Any hearing in this proceeding will be held at a location determined in accordance with 40 C.F.R. §22.21(d). A hearing of this matter will be conducted in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§551-59, and the procedures set forth in Subparts D and I of 40 C.F.R. Part 22.

Should Respondent request a hearing on this proposed penalty assessment, members of the public, to whom EPA is obligated to give notice of this proposed action, will have a right under Section 309(g)(4)(B) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1319(g)(4)(B), to be heard and to present evidence on the appropriateness of the penalty assessment. Should Respondent not request a hearing, EPA will issue a Final Order, and only members of the public who submit timely comment on this proposal will have an additional thirty (30) days to petition EPA to set aside the Final Order and to hold a hearing thereon. EPA will grant the petition and will hold a hearing only if the petitioner's evidence is material and was not considered by EPA in the issuance of the Final Order.

C. Failure To Answer

If Respondent fails in its Answer to admit, deny, or explain any material factual allegation contained in the Complaint, such failure constitutes an admission of the allegation. 40 C.F.R. §22.15(d). If Respondent fails to file a timely [*i.e.* in accordance with the thirty (30)-day period set forth in 40 C.F.R. §22.15(a)] Answer to the Complaint, Respondent may be found in default upon motion. 40 C.F.R. §22.17(a). Default by Respondent constitutes, for purposes of the pending proceeding only, an admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of Respondent's right to contest such factual allegations. 40 C.F.R. §22.17(a). Following a default by Respondent for a failure to timely file an Answer to the Complaint, any order issued shall be issued pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §22.17(c).

Any penalty assessed in the default order shall become due and payable by Respondent without further proceedings thirty (30) days after the default order becomes final pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §22.17(d). If necessary, EPA may then seek to enforce such final order of default against Respondent, and to collect the assessed penalty amount, in federal court.

V. Informal Settlement Conference

Whether or not Respondent requests a formal hearing, EPA encourages settlement of this proceeding consistent with the provisions of the Act and its applicable regulations. 40 C.F.R.

§22.18(b). At an informal conference with a representative(s) of Complainant, Respondent may comment on the charges made in this Complaint, and Respondent may also provide whatever additional information that it believes is relevant to the disposition of this matter, including: (1) actions Respondent has taken to correct any or all of the violations herein alleged, (2) any information relevant to Complainant's calculation of the proposed penalty, (3) the effect the proposed penalty would have on Respondent's ability to continue in business and/or (4) any other special facts or circumstances Respondent wishes to raise.

Complainant has the authority to modify the amount of the proposed penalty, where appropriate, to reflect any settlement agreement reached with Respondent, to reflect any relevant information previously not known to Complainant or to dismiss any or all of the charges, if Respondent can demonstrate that the relevant allegations are without merit and that no cause of action as herein alleged exists. Respondent is referred to 40 C.F.R. §22.18.

Any request for an informal conference or any questions that Respondent may have regarding this Complaint should be directed to:

Eduardo J. Gonzalez
Assistant Regional Counsel
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2
290 Broadway, 16th floor
New York, New York 10007-1866
(212) 637-3223

The parties may engage in settlement discussions irrespective of whether Respondent has requested a hearing. 40 C.F.R. §22.18(b)(1). Respondent's request for a formal hearing does not prevent them from also requesting an informal settlement conference; the informal conference procedure may be pursued simultaneously with the formal adjudicatory hearing procedure. A request for an informal settlement conference constitutes neither an admission nor a denial of any of the matters alleged in the Complaint. Complainant does not deem a request for an informal settlement conference as a request for a hearing as specified in 40 C.F.R. §22.15(c).

A request for an informal settlement conference does not affect Respondent's obligation to file a timely Answer to the Complaint pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §22.15. No penalty reduction, however, will be made simply because an informal settlement conference is held.

Any settlement that may be reached as a result of an informal settlement conference shall be embodied in a written Consent Agreement. 40 C.F.R. §22.18(b)(2). In accepting the Consent Agreement, Respondent waives its right to contest the allegations in the Complaint and waives its right to appeal the Final Order that is to accompany the Consent Agreement. 40 C.F.R. §22.18(b)(2). In order to conclude the proceeding, a Final Order ratifying the parties' agreement to settle will be executed. 40 C.F.R. §22.18(b)(3).

Respondent's entering into a settlement through the signing of such Consent Agreement and its complying with the terms and conditions set forth in the such Consent Agreement terminate this administrative litigation and the civil proceedings arising out of the allegations made in the Complaint. Respondent's entering into a settlement does not extinguish, waive, satisfy or

otherwise affect its obligation and responsibility to comply with all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements, and to maintain such compliance.

VI. Resolution of this Proceeding Without Hearing or Conference

Instead of filing an Answer, Respondent may choose to pay the total amount of the proposed penalty within thirty (30) days after receipt of the Complaint, provided that Respondent files with the Regional Hearing Clerk, Region 2 (at the address noted above), a copy of the check or other instrument of payment. 40 C.F.R. §22.18(a). A copy of the check or other instrument of payment should be provided to the EPA Assistant Regional Counsel identified on the previous page. Payment of the penalty assessed should be made by sending a cashier's or certified check payable to the "**Treasurer, United States of America**", in the full amount of the penalty assessed in this Complaint to the following addressee:

**Regional Hearing Clerk
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2
Fines and Penalties
Cincinnati Finance Center
P.O. Box 979077
St. Louis, MO 63197-9000**

Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §22.18(a)(3), if Respondent elects to pay the full amount of the penalty proposed in the Complaint within thirty (30) days of receiving the Complaint, then, upon EPA's receipt of such payment, the Regional Administrator of EPA, Region 2 (or, if designated, the Regional Judicial Officer), shall issue a Final Order in accordance with 40 C.F.R. §22.18(a)(3). In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §22.45(c)(3), no Final Order shall be issued until at least ten (10) days after the close of the comment period on this Complaint. Issuance of a Final Order terminates this administrative litigation and the civil proceedings arising out of the allegations made in the Complaint. Further, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §22.18(a)(3), the making of such payment by Respondent shall constitute a waiver of Respondent's right both to contest the allegations made in the Complaint and to appeal said Final Order to federal court. Such payment does not extinguish, waive, satisfy or otherwise affect Respondent's obligation and responsibility to comply with all applicable regulations and requirements, and to maintain such compliance.

VII. Filing of Documents

1. The Answer and any Hearing Request and all subsequent documents filed in this action should be sent to:

**Regional Hearing Clerk
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2
290 Broadway - 16th Floor
New York, New York 10007-1866**


- 2 A copy of the Answer, any Hearing Request and all subsequent documents filed in this action shall be sent to:

Eduardo J. Gonzalez
Assistant Regional Counsel
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2
290 Broadway, 16th floor
New York, New York 10007-1866
(212) 637-3223

VIII. General Provisions

1. Respondent has the right to be represented by an attorney at any stage of these proceedings.
2. This Complaint does not constitute a waiver, suspension or modification of the requirements of the Act, regulations promulgated thereunder, or any applicable permit.
3. Neither assessment nor payment of an administrative civil penalty pursuant to Section 309(g) of the Act will affect Respondent's continuing obligation to comply with the Act, and with any separate Compliance Order issued under Section 309(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §1319(a), for the violations alleged herein.

ISSUED THIS 23rd DAY OF March, 2011.



Dore LaPosta, Director
Division of Enforcement and Compliance Assistance
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 2
290 Broadway
New York, New York 10007

CWA-02-2011-3315

To: (40 C.F.R. §22.5(b)(1))

Mr. Abraham Stenberg, President
Beach Point Club
900 Rushmore Avenue
Mamaroneck, New York 10543-4599

**UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 2**

-----X
IN THE MATTER OF :
 :
 :
 Beach Point Club : Proceeding to Assess Class I
 900 Rushmore Avenue : Civil Penalty Under Section
 Mamaroneck, New York 10543-4599 : 309(g) of the Clean Water Act
 :
 :
 Proceeding Pursuant to §309(g) of the : Docket No. CWA-02-2011-3315
 Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §1319(g) :
 :
-----X

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I certify that, on the date noted below, I caused to be mailed, by certified mail, return receipt requested, a copy of the foregoing "ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT" and a copy of the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits," (40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 22 (July 1, 2000)) to the following persons at the addresses listed below:

Mr. Abraham Stenberg, President
Beach Point Club
900 Rushmore Avenue
Mamaroneck, New York 10543-4599

Joseph DiMura, P.E., Director
Division of Water
NYSDEC
625 Broadway
Albany New York 12233-3506

I [hand carried / mailed] the original and a copy of the foregoing Complaint to the office of the Regional Hearing Clerk, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2.

Date: 3/23/11
New York, New York


[Signature of Sender]
[NOTE: must be over 18]