## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENO REGIONAL HEARIN **Region 2**

In the Matter of

Deltech Resin Co.,

Respondent.

Proceeding under Section 3008 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended. : Docket Number RCRA-02-2007-7111

## MOTION FOR A STAY OF ORDER TO FILE PREHEARING EXCHANGES

Complainant in this proceeding, the Director of the Division of Enforcement and Compliance Assistance, EPA, Region 2 (EPA), through her attorney, requests this Court grant a two-month stay of the order directing the parties to file their prehearing exchanges. Respondent's counsel has informed the undersigned that he does not object to the relief EPA seeks. For the reasons set forth below, EPA submits that good cause exists for granting this motion.

This is a case administratively prosecuted under Section 3008 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6928, in which EPA seeks a civil penalty of \$29,510 for violations allegedly occurring at Respondent's facility in Newark, New Jersey. As noted in EPA's motion of January 22<sup>nd</sup>, the prehearing order of this Court, dated October 24, 2007, directed that Complainant's initial prehearing exchange be submitted by January 29, 2008, Respondent's by February 29<sup>th</sup> and Complainant's rebuttal prehearing exchange, if any, by March 14<sup>th</sup>. In response to that motion, this Court on or about January 28, 2008 granted (orally) the

relief it sought. Accordingly, the existing prehearing deadlines mandate that Complainant submit her initial prehearing exchange by February 29<sup>th</sup>, Respondent by March 29<sup>th</sup> and any EPA rebuttal prehearing exchange by April 14<sup>th</sup>.

The parties met for a settlement conference on August 16<sup>th</sup> and then held another one on December 17<sup>th</sup>. Since the Court granted the January 22<sup>nd</sup> motion, the parties have reached a settlement, agreeing to the material terms therefor. A consent agreement has been drafted and forwarded to Respondent's counsel. Upon receipt of Respondent's comments and then finalization of the language, the consent agreement will be circulated for final Regional approval. The undersigned anticipates that the entire process should be completed approximately within four to six weeks.

EPA accordingly seeks a two month stay of the present deadlines for the submission of the parties' prehearing exchanges. This time is sought to allow the parties a sufficient period to review the consent agreement, work out any possible language differences and then effect the execution of the document by the necessary parties. With settlement appearing imminent, the undersigned submits there is no need for the parties to expend time and resources preparing and submitting prehearing exchanges, and accordingly seeks two months' time for the parties to conclude this matter. Respondent agrees to the relief EPA seeks in this motion.

Complainant submits the above considerations demonstrate that the good cause requirement of 40 C.F.R. § 22.7(b) exists for the granting of this motion. As noted in the January 22<sup>nd</sup> motion, no hearing has been scheduled and no dispositive or other substantive motions have been filed. Neither party would be prejudiced by this relatively short stay, and indeed the parties should avail themselves of this time to expedite finalization of the settlement. The Court should

2

not be prejudiced either, if only because to date activities in this litigation have been, at most, minimal. For example, no schedule for a hearing has been set.

Therefore, EPA respectfully moves this Court, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.4(c)(2), 22.7(b), 22.16(a) and 22.19(a), for an order: **a**) staying for two months so much of the January 2008 oral order as directed the parties to serve their prehearing exchanges by February 29, 2008 (EPA's initial prehearing exchange), March 29, 2008 (Respondent's initial prehearing exchange) and April 14, 2008 (any rebuttal prehearing exchange) and **b**) extending the deadline for each submission set forth in said order by a period of sixty (60) days (April 29<sup>th</sup>, May 29<sup>th</sup> and June 14<sup>th</sup>, respectively).

Dated: February 13, 2008 New York, New York

Respectfully submitted

Lee A. Spielmann Assistant Regional Counsel Office of Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 290 Broadway, 16<sup>th</sup> floor New York, New York 10007-1866 212-637-3222 FAX: 212-637-3199

TO: Honorable Barbara A. Gunning Administrative Law Judge
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Mail Code 1900L
Washington, DC 20005

3

Office of Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 290 Broadway, 16<sup>th</sup> floor New York, New York 10007-1866

Steven T. Singer, Esq. Counselor-at-Law 383 Franklin Street Bl;oomfield, New Jersey 07003

## In re Deltech Resin Co. Docket No. RCRA-02-2007-7111

. . .

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I have this day caused to be sent the foregoing Complainant's Motion for a Stay of Order to File Prehearing Exchanges, dated February 13, 2008, in the following manner to the respective addressees listed below:

Original and One Copy By Inter-Office Mail:

> Office of Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region 2 290 Broadway, 16th floor New York, New York 10007-1866

Copy by Pouch Mail:

Honorable Barbara A. Gunning Administrative Law Judge U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Mail Code 1900 L Washington, DC 20460

Copy by <u>First Class Mail</u>:

> Steven T. Singer, Esq. Counselor-at-Law 383 Franklin Street Bloomfield, New Jersey 07003

Dated: February 13, 2008 New York, New York

Lee A. Spielmann