		FILED	
	NANCY J. MARVEL Regional Counsel	2007 APR 17 PH 2: 04	
1 2 3 4	BRIAN P. RIEDEL Assistant Regional Counsel United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105	DESIGNAL NEWSFILL SERVICE	
5	(415) 972-3924 UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY		
6	REGION		
7			
8	In the Matter of:) Docket No. CAA-9-2007-0002	
9	B.C.S. Enterprises, Inc. and		
0	Arizona Department of Transportation)) COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF	
.1) OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING Proceeding under Section 113 of)		
2	the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7413		
.3		_)	
.4	I. STATEMENT C	OF AUTHORITY	
.5	1. Pursuant to Sections 113(a)(3) and 113(d) of the Clean Air	
6	Act ("CAA" or "Act"), 42 U.S.	C. §§ 7413(a)(3) and 7413(d),	
.7	and the Consolidated Rules of	Practice Governing the	
8	Administrative Assessment of	Civil Penalties, 40 Code of	
9	Federal Regulations ("C.F.R.") Part 22 ("Consolidated Rules	
0	of Practice"), the Director o	of the Air Division, EPA Region	
1	9 ("Complainant") commences t	his administrative action and	
2	issues this Complaint and Not	ice of Opportunity for Hearing	
23	("Complaint"). Complainant i	s duly delegated the authority	
4	to file this action.		
25	2. This Complaint notifies B.C.S	5. Enterprises, Inc. ("BCS") and	
6	Arizona Department of Transpo	ortation ("ADOT")(collectively,	
27	"Respondents") of Complainant	's determination that	
8	Respondents have violated Sec	tions 112 and 114 of the CAA,	

To th	e Matter of: B.C.S. Enterprises, Inc. and Arizona Department of Transportation,
	-2007-0002
	42 U.S.C. §§ 7412 and 7414, and the National Emission
	Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Asbestos, 40
	C.F.R. Part 61, Subpart M, 40 C.F.R. §§ 61.140 - 63.157
	("Asbestos NESHAP").
	II. NATURE OF ACTION
з.	This is a civil administrative action instituted pursuant to
	Sections 113(a)(3) and 113(d) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C.
	§§ 7413(a)(3) and 7413(d), and the Consolidated Rules of
	Practice. Specifically, Complainant seeks civil penalties
	under Section 113(d) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d), for
	Respondent's violations of Sections 112 and 114 of the CAA,
	42 U.S.C. §§ 7412 and 7414, and the Asbestos NESHAP.
	III. STATUTORY AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK
4.	Section 112 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7412, lists various
	hazardous air pollutants ("HAPs") and requires EPA to
	establish national emissions standards for these pollutants
	Codified as Subpart M of 40 C.F.R. Part 61, EPA promulgated
	the Asbestos NESHAP, which sets forth the standard for the
	removal and disposal of asbestos incident to demolition or
	renovation activities, along with associated notification
	and recordkeeping requirements under Section 114 of the CAA
	42 U.S.C. § 7414.
5.	The Asbestos NESHAP covers anyone who is an "owner or
	operator of a demolition or renovation activity, " within th
	meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 61.141. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R.
	§ 61.145(a), the notification and control requirements of 4
	-2-

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TARGET A PRESE TA CONTRACTOR AND A PRESE TO THE PRESE TO

In the Matter of: B.C.S. Enterprises, Inc. and Arizona Department of Transportation, CAA-9-2007-0002 1 C.F.R. §§ 61.145(b) and (c), respectively, apply if the 2 combined amount of regulated asbestos-containing material 3 ("RACM") on a facility being demolished is more than 160 4 square feet on components other than pipes. 5 The definition of "RACM" includes, inter alia, Category II 6. 6 nonfriable asbestos-containing material ("ACM") that has a 7 high probability of becoming or has become crumbled, 8 pulverized, or reduced to powder by the forces expected to 9 act on the material in the course of demolition or 10 renovation operations. See 40 C.F.R. § 61.141. Category I 11 nonfriable ACM means asbestos-containing packings, gaskets, 12 resilient floor covering, and asphalt roofing products 13 containing more than 1% asbestos using specified test 14 methods. Id. Category II nonfriable ACM means any 15 material, excluding Category I nonfriable ACM, containing 16 more than 1% asbestos, determined using specified test 17 methods, that, when dry, cannot be crumbled, pulverized, or 18 reduced to powder by hand pressure. Id. Nonfriable 19 asbestos-cement products such as transite roof shingles are 20 an example of Category II material. 21 Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 61.145(c)(1), each owner or operator 7.

of a demolition activity shall remove all RACM from a facility being demolished or renovated before any activity begins that would break up, dislodge, or similarly disturb the material or preclude access to the material for subsequent removal, unless one of the exceptions set forth

-3-

In the Matter of: B.C.S. Enterprises, Inc. and Arizona Department of Transportation, CAA-9-2007-0002 1 at 40 C.F.R. § 61.145(c)(1)(i) through (iv) apply. 2 8. Pursuant 40 C.F.R. § 61.145(b), each owner or operator of a 3 demolition activity shall provide written notification to 4 EPA (or EPA's delegatee if the notification program is 5 delegated) of the intention to demolish at least ten (10) б working days before demolition begins. The notification 7 must include, inter alia, an estimate of the approximate 8 amount of RACM, scheduled starting and completion dates of 9 the demolition, a description of planned demolition or 10 renovation work to be performed and method(s) employed, 11 including the demolition techniques used and a description 12 of affected facility components, and a description of 13 asbestos removal and waste-handling emission control 14 procedures. See 40 C.F.R. § 61.145(b)(4). 15 Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 61.150(d)(1), each owner or operator 9. 16 of a demolition activity shall maintain a waste shipment 17 record, and provide a copy of the same to the disposal site 18 owner or operator. 19 10. The waste shipment record that must be maintained and 20 provided pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 61.150(d)(1) must include, 21 inter alia, an accurate description of the materials 22 consigned. 23 11. Pursuant to Section 4 of the Federal Civil Penalties 24 Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990, 28 U.S.C. 2461 note, as 25 amended by the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996, 31 26 U.S.C. 3701 note, EPA is authorized to assess a civil 27 28

-4-

		e Matter of: B.C.S. Enterprises, Inc. and Arizona Department of Transportation, -2007-0002
1		penalty of up to \$32,500 per day for each violation of the
2		CAA occurring after March 15, 2004. See 40 C.F.R. Part 19.
3		IV. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS
4	12.	BCS is a demolition contractor located in Gilbert, Arizona,
5		a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of
6		Arizona, and a "person" within the meaning of Section 302(e)
7		of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7602(e).
8	13.	ADOT is a state agency and a "person" within the meaning of
9		Section 302(e) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7602(e).
10	14.	At all times relevant to this Complaint, ADOT was the owner
11		of a single-family house located on Parcel #11-0589, 20929
12		E. Highway 60, Florence Junction, Pinal County, Arizona
13		("House").
14	15.	On or about March 24, 2006, ADOT awarded a demolition
15		contract to BCS to demolish and remove the House.
16	16.	In the Pre-Demolition Bulk Asbestos Survey performed on the
17		House for ADOT by Allen Environmental Services ("Allen
18		Environmental"), dated March 31, 2006, Allen Environmental
19		found approximately 2,800 square feet of transite roof
20		shingles with asbestos at or above 1.0% ("transite
21		shingles").
22	17.	At all times relevant to this Complaint, the transite
23		shingles were Category II ACM.
24	18.	At all times relevant to this Complaint, the transite
25		shingles were asbestos-containing material that had a high
26		probability of becoming crumbled, pulverized, or reduced to
27		
28		~5-

	3		
	In the Matter of: B.C.S. Enterprises, Inc. and Arizona Department of Transportation,		
1	CAA-9	-2007-0002 powder by the forces expected to act on the material in the	
2		course of demolition.	
3	19.		
4		shingles were "RACM."	
5	20.	At all times relevant to this Complaint, BCS was an "owner	
6		or operator of a demolition or renovation activity, " within	
7		the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 61.141, subject to the	
8		requirements of 40 C.F.R. §§ 61.145(b) and (c) and 61.150(d)	
9		of the Asbestos NESHAP.	
10	21.	At all times relevant to this Complaint, ADOT was an "owner	
11		or operator of a demolition or renovation activity," within	
12		the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 61.141, subject to the	
13		requirements of 40 C.F.R. §§ 61.145(b) and (c) and 61.150(d)	
14		of the Asbestos NESHAP.	
15	22.	On or about April 14, 2006, ADOT awarded an abatement	
16		contract to Native Environmental, LLC to remove the transite	
17		shingles from the House.	
18	23.	On April 18 and 19, 2006, BCS demolished the House.	
19	24.	On April 18 and 19, 2006, BCS demolished the House prior to	
20		abatement or removal of the transite shingles from the	
21		House.	
22		V. SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS	
23		COUNT 1 - DEMOLITION PRIOR TO RACM ABATEMENT	
24	25.	Complainant incorporates by reference the allegations	
25		contained in paragraphs 1 through 24.	
26	26.	At all times relevant to this Complaint, demolition of the	
27			
28		- 6 -	

	1.000 00000	e Matter of: B.C.S. Enterprises, Inc. and Arizona Department of Transportation, -2007-0002
1		House would break up, dislodge or similarly disturb the
2		transite shingles or preclude access to the transite
3		shingles for subsequent removal.
4	27.	At all times relevant to this Complaint, the transite
5		shingles did not otherwise qualify for any of the exceptions
6		set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 61.145(c)(1)(i) through (iv).
7	28.	Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 61.145(c)(1), BCS and ADOT were
8		required to remove the transite shingles from the House
9		before demolition.
10	29.	BCS and ADOT failed to remove the transite shingles from the
11		House before demolition.
12	30.	The failure of BCS and ADOT to have the transite shingles
13		removed from the House before demolition constitutes a
14		violation of Section 112 of the CAA and 40 C.F.R.
15		§ 61.145(c)(1).
16		COUNT 2 - FAILURE TO PROVIDE PROPER NOTIFICATION
17	31.	Complainant incorporates by reference the allegations
18		contained in paragraphs 1 through 24.
19	32.	In the NESHAP Notification for Renovation and Demolition
20		Activities, dated April 4, 2006, for demolition of the House
21		("Original Notification"), BCS did not provide an estimate
22		of the approximate amount of RACM to be removed from the
23		House.
24	33.	The failure of BCS and ADOT to provide notification at least
25		ten (10) days before demolition regarding an estimate of the
26		approximate amount of RACM to be removed from the House
27		
28		-7-

	In the Matter of: B.C.S. Enterprises, Inc. and Arizona Department of Transportation, CAA-9-2007-0002		
1		constitutes a violation of Section 114 of the CAA and 40	
2		C.F.R. § 61.145(b)(4)(vi).	
3	34.	In the Original Notification, BCS stated that the asbestos	
4		in the House was to be removed by others prior to .	
5		demolition.	
6	35.	BCS's inaccurate representation in the Original Notification	
7		that the RACM in the House will be removed by others prior	
8		to demolition constitutes a violation of Section 114 of the	
9		CAA and 40 C.F.R. § 61.145(b)(4).	
10	36.	In the Original Notification, BCS stated that the demolition	
11		start date of the House was April 19, 2006.	
12	37.	The failure of BCS and ADOT to provide notification at least	
13		ten (10) days before demolition regarding the demolition	
14		start date of the House (April 18, 2006) constitutes a	
15		violation of Section 114 of the CAA and 40 C.F.R.	
16		§ 61.145(b)(4)(ix).	
17		COUNT 3 - FAILURE TO MAINTAIN AND PROVIDE PROPER WASTE SHIPMENT RECORD	
18	38	Complainant incorporates by reference the allegations	
19	50.	contained in paragraphs 1 through 24.	
20	39.	In the Asbestos NESHAP Waste Shipment Record ("Waste	
21	55.	Shipment Record"), dated April 26, 2006, BCS represented	
22		that the RACM removed from the House was nonfriable.	
23	40.	The failure of BCS and ADOT to accurately represent in the	
24	40.	Waste Shipment Record that the RACM removed from the House	
25		was friable constitutes a violation of Section 114 of the	
26		CAA and 40 C.F.R. §61.150(d).	
27		our and 40 C't'W' 201'130(d):	
28		-8-	

	In the Matter of: B.C.S. Enterprises, Inc. and Arizona Department of Transportation, CAA-9-2007-0002
1	VI. PENALTY ASSESSMENT
2	41. Based on application of the statutory penalty factors set
3	forth at Section 113(e) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(e), and
4	embodied in the Clean Air Act Stationary Source Civil
5	Penalty Policy, dated October 25, 1991 ("CAA General Penalty
6	Policy") and Appendix III thereto, dated May 5, 1992, a CAA
7	civil penalty of Forty-Five Thousand Five Hundred Twelve
8	Dollars (\$45,512) is hereby proposed to be assessed against
9	BCS and ADOT.
10	42. Payment shall be made by electronic fund transfer ("EFT") or
11	cashier's or certified check. Payment by EFT shall be
12	transferred to the following address:
13	Mellon Bank
14	ABA 043000261 Account 9109125
15	22 Morrow Drive Pittsburgh, PA 15235
16	Payment made by cashier's or certified check shall be
17	payable to the "Treasury, United States of America," and
18	shall be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested,
19	to the following address:
20	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
21	Region 9 P.O. Box 371099M
22	Pittsburgh, PA 15251
23	The check shall note the case title and docket number.
24	Concurrent with the delivery of payment, Respondent shall
25	send a copy of the check to the following addresses:
26	Regional Hearing Clerk (ORC-1) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
27	Region IX
28	-9-

In the Matter of: B.C.S. Enterprises, Inc. and Arizona Department of Transportation, CAA-9-2007-0002 1 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 2 Robert Trotter 3 Enforcement Office (AIR-5) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 4 Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street 5 San Francisco, CA 94105 6 and 7 Brian P. Riedel Assistant Regional Counsel 8 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 10 VII. OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING AND FILE ANSWER 11 As provided by Section 113(d) of the CAA, Respondents have a 43. 12 right to request a hearing on the issues raised in this 13 Complaint. Any such hearing will be conducted in accordance 14 with the Consolidated Rules of Practice. Note that a 15 request for a hearing must be incorporated in a written 16 answer ("Answer") filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk 17 within thirty (30) days of service of this Complaint. See 18 40 C.F.R. § 22.15. 19 44. In their Answer(s), Respondent(s) may contest, among other 20 things, any material fact contained in the Complaint. The 21 Answer(s) shall clearly and directly admit, deny or explain 22 each of the factual allegations contained in the Complaint 23 as to which Respondent(s) have any knowledge. Where 24 Respondent(s) have no knowledge as to a particular factual 25 allegation and so states, the allegation is deemed denied. 26 The Answer(s) shall also state: (1) the circumstances or 27 28 -10-

	In the Matter of: B.C.S. Enterprises, Inc. and Arizona Department of Transportation, CAA-9-2007-0002	
1	arguments alleged to constitute the grounds of any defense;	
2	(2) the facts which Respondent(s) dispute; (3) the basis for	
3	opposing any proposed relief; and, (4) whether a hearing is	
4	requested. Any failure of Respondent(s) to admit, deny or	
5	explain any material fact contained in the Complaint	
6	constitutes an admission of that allegation.	
7	VIII. POSSIBILITY OF DEFAULT	
8	45. If Respondent(s) fail to file a timely Answer to the	
9	Complaint, Respondent(s) may be found to be in default	
10	pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.17. For purposes of this action	
11	only, default by Respondent(s) constitutes an admission of	
12	all facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of the right	
13	of Respondent(s) to contest such factual allegations.	
14	SO ISSUED this 17th day of April 2007:	
15	SU ISSUED this 17th day of April 2007:	
16	Deborah Jordan	
17	Director, Air Division United States Environmental	
18	Protection Agency, Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street	
19	San Francisco, California 94105	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	-11-	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the original and a copy of the foregoing Complaint and Opportunity for Hearing was hand delivered to:

> Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

and that a true and correct copy of the Complaint; the asbestos NESHAP, 40 C.F.R. Part 61,

Subpart M; the Consolidated Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. Part 22; and the Clean Air Act

Stationary Source Civil Penalty Policy (including Appendix III) were placed in the United

States Mail, certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed to the following:

Victor Mendez Director Arizona Department of Transportation 206 S. 17th Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85007-3213 Certified Mail No. 7000 0520 0025 3713 4911

W. Michael Sumner President BCS Enterprises, Inc. 1275 W. Houston Ave. Gilbert, AZ 85223 Certified Mail No. 7000 0520 0025 3713 4928

Dated: 9/17/0

det By:

Air Enforcement Office USEPA Region 9.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX 75 Hawthome Street San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

APR 1 7 2007

CERTIFIED MAIL NO. 7000 0520 0025 3713 4928 RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

IN REPLY: AIR-5 REFER TO: Docket No. CAA-9-2007-0002

W. Michael Sumner President BCS Enterprises, Inc. 1275 W. Houston Ave. Gilbert, AZ 85223

Dear Mr. Sumner:

Enclosed is a copy of a Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing ("Complaint") filed pursuant to Section 113(d) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401-7671q (1991) (the "Act"). The Complaint alleges that, during renovation/demolition of the structure(s) located at 20929 E. Highway 60, Florence Junction, Arizona, BCS Enterprises, Inc. ("BCS"), and Arizona Department of Transportation ("ADOT") failed to comply with notification and work practice requirements of the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants ("NESHAP") for asbestos, promulgated pursuant to Section 112 of the Act. These alleged violations are more specifically set forth in the Complaint.

As set forth in the Complaint, you are required to respond to this Complaint within thirty (30) days of receipt of the Complaint. If you fail to file an Answer to this Complaint with the Regional Hearing Clerk within thirty (30) days of receipt, your failure may constitute an admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of your right to a hearing. The proposed civil penalty shall become due and payable sixty (60) days after a final order is issued upon default.

Copies of the following rules and regulations are included for your information: (1) the asbestos NESHAP regulations (40 C.F.R. Part 61, Subpart M); (2) the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties; (3) the Clean Air Act Stationary Source Civil Penalty Policy and Appendix III.

If you wish to discuss this Complaint, your attorney may contact Brian Riedel, Assistant Regional Counsel, at (415)972-3924, or you may contact Robert Trotter, Enforcement Officer, at (415)972-3989.

Sincerely,

Deborah Jordan Director, Air Division

5

Enclosures

cc: Pinal County Air Quality Department



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

APR 1 7 2007

CERTIFIED MAIL NO. 7000 0520 0025 3713 4911 RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

IN REPLY: AIR-5 REFER TO: Docket No. CAA-9-2007-0002

Victor Mendez Director Arizona Department of Transportation 206 S. 17th Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85007-3213

Dear Mr. Mendez:

Enclosed is a copy of a Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing ("Complaint") filed pursuant to Section 113(d) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401-7671q (1991) (the "Act"). The Complaint alleges that, during renovation/demolition of the structure(s) located at 20929 E. Highway 60, Florence Junction, Arizona, BCS Enterprises, Inc. ("BCS"), and Arizona Department of Transportation ("ADOT") failed to comply with notification and work practice requirements of the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants ("NESHAP") for asbestos, promulgated pursuant to Section 112 of the Act. These alleged violations are more specifically set forth in the Complaint.

As set forth in the Complaint, you are required to respond to this Complaint within thirty (30) days of receipt of the Complaint. If you fail to file an Answer to this Complaint with the Regional Hearing Clerk within thirty (30) days of receipt, your failure may constitute an admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of your right to a hearing. The proposed civil penalty shall become due and payable sixty (60) days after a final order is issued upon default.

Copies of the following rules and regulations are included for your information: (1) the asbestos NESHAP regulations (40 C.F.R. Part 61, Subpart M); (2) the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties; (3) the Clean Air Act Stationary Source Civil Penalty Policy and Appendix III. If you wish to discuss this Complaint, your attorney may contact Brian Riedel, Assistant Regional Counsel, at (415)972-3924, or you may contact Robert Trotter, Enforcement Officer, at (415)972-3989.

Sincerely,

Deborah Jordan Director, Air Division

Enclosures

÷

cc: Pinal County Air Quality Department