UNITED STATES FILED ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 2011 APR -7 AM 9: 46 REGION 6

In the Matter of	REGIONAL HEARING CLERK EPA REGION VI § Docket No. CWA-06-2011-1769 8
	§
Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority, Inc.,	§ Proceeding to Assess a Class I
a New Mexico municipality,	§ Civil Penalty under Section 309(g)
	§ of the Clean Water Act
Respondent	§
	§ ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT
NPDES Facility No. NMU001691	ş

I. Statutory Authority

This Complaint is issued under the authority vested in the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") by Section 309(g) of the Clean Water Act ("Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g). The Administrator of EPA delegated the authority to issue this Complaint to the Regional Administrator of EPA Region 6, who delegated this authority to the Director of the Compliance Assurance and Enforcement Division of EPA Region 6 ("Complainant"). This Class I Administrative Complaint is issued in accordance with the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits," 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.1 through 22.52, including rules related to administrative proceedings not governed by Section 554 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.50 through 22.52.

Based on the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, Complainant finds that the Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority, Inc. ("Respondent") violated the Act and the regulations promulgated under the Act and should be ordered to pay a civil penalty.

II. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

 Respondent is a municipality duly formed under the laws of the State of New Mexico, and as such, Respondent is a "person," as that term is defined at Section 502(5) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5), and 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.

2. At all times relevant to the violations alleged herein ("relevant time period"), Respondent owned or operated the Villa Alegre Construction Project, a 9.2 acre construction site located at 811 and 821 West Alameda Street and the 100 block of Camino Del Campo, in Santa Fe, Santa Fe County, New Mexico ("facility") and was therefore an "owner or operator" within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.

3. During the relevant time period, the facility was a "point source" of a "discharge" of "pollutants" with its storm water discharges to the receiving waters of the Santa Fe Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Conveyance, thence to the Canada Rincon, which is considered a "water of the United States" within the meaning of Section 502 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362, and 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.

4. Because Respondent owned or operated a facility that acted as a point source of discharges of pollutants to waters of the United States, Respondent and the facility were subject to the Act and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") program.

5. Under Section 301 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311, it is unlawful for any person to discharge any pollutant from a point source to waters of the United States, except with the authorization of, and in compliance with, an NPDES permit issued pursuant to Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342.

6. Section 402(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342(a), provides that the Administrator of EPA may issue permits under the NPDES program for the discharge of pollutants from point sources to waters of the United States. Any such discharge is subject to the specific terms and conditions prescribed in the applicable permit.

7. Section 402(p) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342(p), and 40 C.F.R. §§ 122.1 and 122.26 provide that storm water discharges associated with industrial activity are point sources subject to NPDES permitting requirements under Section 402(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342(a).

8. Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 122.26(b)(14)(x), construction activity, including clearing, grading, and excavation, is among those categories of facilities considered to be engaging in "industrial activity" for purposes of Section 402(p) of the Act and 40 C.F.R. §§ 122.1 and 122.26.

9. Pursuant to Section 402(a) of the Act, EPA issued the Final NPDES General Permit for Storm Water Discharges from Construction Sites ("Permit"), which became effective on July 1, 2008 [68 Fed. Reg. 39087], and covered discharges where EPA is the permitting authority in New Mexico for all times relevant to this action.

10. On November 3, 2010, a Compliance Evaluation Inspection was conducted at the facility by representatives from the New Mexico Environment Department. The inspection resulted in the following findings:

a) From on or about November 24, 2009, and continuing to the present, Respondent was involved in unpermitted construction activity including clearing, grading, and excavation disturbing approximately 9.2 acres of total land area at the facility. b) A Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan ("SWPPP") had not been prepared for the site (although the contractor had some Best Management Practices installed; they were largely ineffective) to prevent the discharge of sediment during the six (6) rain events of one-half (½) inch or greater that occurred from December 2009, to November 2010, the date of the inspection.

11. Therefore, Respondent was required to apply for and obtain NPDES permit coverage before conducting the construction activities described above.

12. Each day that Respondent conducted the construction activities described above without permit coverage was a separate violation of Section 301 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311.

13. Concurrently with this Complaint, EPA is issuing Administrative Order CWA-06-2011-1768 to Respondent. The Order requires Respondent to apply for permit coverage and comply with the conditions of the permit.

14. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(B), Respondent is liable for a civil penalty in an amount not to exceed sixteen thousand dollars (\$16,000.00) per day for each day during which a violation continues, up to a maximum of thirty-seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$37,500.00).

15. The State of New Mexico was notified and given an opportunity to consult with EPA regarding the proposed assessment of an administrative penalty against Respondent.

16. EPA provided public notice of and reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed issuance of a penalty order against Respondent. At the expiration of the notice period, EPA will consider any comments filed by the public.

III. Proposed Penalty

17. Based on the foregoing Findings, and pursuant to the authority of Sections 309(g)(1) and (g)(2)(B) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(g)(1) and (g)(2)(B), EPA Region 6 hereby proposes to assess against Respondent a penalty of four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00).

18. The proposed penalty amount was determined based on the statutory factors specified in Section 309(g)(3), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(3), which includes such factors as the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violation(s), any prior history of such violations, the degree of culpability, economic benefit, if any, and such other matters as justice may require.

IV. Failure to File an Answer

19. If Respondent wishes to deny or explain any material allegation listed in the above Findings or to contest the amount of the penalty proposed, Respondent must file an Answer to this Complaint within thirty (30) days after service of this Complaint whether or not Respondent requests a hearing as discussed below.

20. The requirements for such an Answer are set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 22.15. Failure to file an Answer to this Complaint within thirty (30) days after service of the Complaint shall constitute an admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of the right to hearing. Failure to deny or contest any individual material allegation contained in the Complaint will constitute an admission as to that finding or conclusion under 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(d).

21. If Respondent does not file an Answer to this Complaint within thirty (30) days after service of this Complaint, a Default Order may be issued against Respondent pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.17. A Default Order, if issued, would constitute a finding of liability, and could

Docket No. CWA-06-2011-1769 Page 6 make the full amount of the penalty proposed in this Complaint due and payable by Respondent

without further proceedings thirty (30) days after a final Default Order is issued.

22. Respondent must send its Answer to this Complaint, including any request for

hearing, and all other pleadings to:

Regional Hearing Clerk (6RC-D) U.S. EPA, Region 6 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, TX 75202-2733

Respondent shall also send a copy of its Answer to this Complaint to the following EPA attorney

assigned to this case:

Ms. Ellen Chang-Vaughan (6RC-EW) U.S. EPA, Region 6 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, TX 75202-2733

23. The Answer must be signed by Respondent, Respondent's counsel, or other representative on behalf of Respondent and must contain all information required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.05 and 22.15, including the name, address, and telephone number of Respondent and Respondent's counsel. All other pleadings must be similarly signed and filed.

V. Notice of Opportunity to Request a Hearing

24. Respondent may request a hearing to contest any material allegation contained in this Complaint, or to contest the appropriateness of the amount of the proposed penalty, pursuant to Section 309(g) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g). The procedures for hearings are set out at 40 C.F.R. Part 22, with supplemental rules at 40 C.F.R. § 22.38.

25. Any request for hearing should be included in Respondent's Answer to this Complaint; however, as discussed above, Respondent must file an Answer meeting the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 22.15 in order to preserve the right to a hearing or to pursue other relief.

26. Should a hearing be requested, members of the public who commented on the issuance of the Complaint during the public comment period will have a right to be heard and to present evidence at such hearing under Section 309(g)(4)(B) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(4)(B).

VI. Settlement

27. EPA encourages all parties against whom civil penalties are proposed to pursue the possibility of settlement through informal meetings with EPA. Regardless of whether a formal hearing is requested, Respondent may confer informally with EPA about the alleged violations or the amount of the proposed penalty. Respondent may wish to appear at any informal conference or formal hearing personally, by counsel or other representative, or both. To request an informal conference on the matters described in this Complaint, please contact Mr. Everett H. Spencer, of my staff, at (214) 665-8060. If represented by counsel, please contact Ms. Ellen Chang-Vaughan at (214) 665-7328.

28. If this action is settled without a formal hearing and issuance of an opinion by the Presiding Officer pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.27, this action will be concluded by issuance of a Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO") pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b). The issuance of a CAFO would waive Respondent's right to a hearing on any matter stipulated to therein or

alleged in the Complaint. Any person who commented on this Complaint would be notified and given an additional thirty (30) days to petition EPA to set aside any such CAFO and to hold a hearing on the issues raised in the Complaint. Such a petition would be granted and a hearing held only if the evidence presented by the petitioner's comment was material and was not considered by EPA in the issuance of the CAFO.

29. Neither assessment nor payment of a penalty in resolution of this action will affect Respondent's continuing obligation to comply with all requirements of the Act, the applicable regulations and permits, and any separate Compliance Order issued under Section 309(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(a), including one relating to the violations alleged herein.

APR 0 4 2011

Date

John Blevins

Director Compliance Assurance and Enforcement Division

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this <u>7</u> day of <u>Gpuil</u>, 2011, the original of the foregoing Class I Administrative Complaint concerning the Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority, Inc., Docket No. CWA-06-2011-1769, was filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk, EPA Region 6, Dallas, Texas, and that a true and correct copy of such Administrative Complaint was sent to the following persons, in the manner specified:

Copy by certified mail, return receipt requested:

Mr. Ed Romero, Executive Director Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority, Inc. 664 Alta Vista Street Santa Fe, NM 87125

Mr. Glenn Saums Acting Bureau Chief Surface Water Quality Bureau New Mexico Environment Department P.O. Box 5469 Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469

Copy hand-delivered:

Ms. Ellen Chang-Vaughan (6RC-EW) U.S. EPA, Region 6 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, TX 75202-2733

Dated: Dachne allen