UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 6

FILED 2018 MAY 10 AM 9:00 REGIONAL HEARING CLERK EPA REGION VI

In the Matter of

S DOCKET NO. CWA-06-2018-1786

Town of Red River,
a New Mexico Municipality,

Proceeding to Assess a Class I
Civil Penalty under Section 309(g)
of the Clean Water Act

Respondent

NPDES Permit No. NM0024899

ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT

I. Statutory Authority

This Administrative Complaint ("Complaint") is issued under the authority vested in the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") by Section 309(g) of the Clean Water Act ("the Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g). The Administrator of EPA delegated the authority to issue this Complaint to the Regional Administrator of EPA Region 6, who delegated this authority to the Director of the Compliance Assurance and Enforcement Division of EPA Region 6 ("Complainant"). This Class I Complaint is issued in accordance with, and this action will be conducted under, the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits," including rules related to administrative proceedings not governed by Section 554 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 40 C.F.R. § 22.50 through 22.52.

Based on the following findings, Complainant finds that the Town of Red River ("Respondent") has violated the Act and the regulations and should be ordered to pay a civil penalty.

II. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

- 1. Respondent is a municipality, chartered under the laws of the State of New Mexico, and as such, Respondent is a "person," as that term is defined at Section 502(5) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362 and 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.
- 2. At all times relevant to this Order ("all relevant times"), Respondent owned or operated the Town of Red River Wastewater Treatment Plant located at Mile Marker 10 Highway 38, Red River, Taos County, New Mexico ("facility") and was, therefore, an "owner or operator" within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.
- 3. At all relevant times, the facility acted as a "point source" of a "discharge" of "pollutants" with its wastewater discharging into the Red River, in Segment No. 20.6.4.122, which is considered a "water of the United States," within the meaning of Section 502 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362, and 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.
- 4. Because Respondent owned or operated a facility that acted as a point source of discharges of pollutants to waters of the United States, Respondent and the facility were subject to the Act and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") program.
- 5. Under Section 301 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311, it is unlawful for any person to discharge any pollutant from a point source to waters of the United States, except with the authorization of, and in compliance with, an NPDES permit issued pursuant to Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342.
- 6. Section 402(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342(a), provides that the Administrator of EPA may issue permits under the NPDES program for the discharge of pollutants from point sources to waters of the United States. Any such discharge is subject to the specific terms and conditions prescribed in the applicable permit.

- 7. Respondent applied for and was issued NPDES Permit No. NM0024899 ("permit") under Section 402 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342, which became effective on May 1, 2017. At all relevant times, Respondent was authorized to discharge pollutants from the facility to waters of the United States only in compliance with the specific terms and conditions of the permit.
- 8. Part I.A of the permit establishes specific limitations on the quality and quantity of the effluent discharged by Respondent as well as monitoring requirements.
- 9. Part III.B.2–3 of the permit requires the Respondent to properly operate and maintain all treatment facilities and systems at all times and to take all reasonable steps to minimize upsets and discharges in violation of the NPDES permit that have a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.
- 10. On April 5, 2018, EPA issued to Respondent Administrative Order Docket Number CWA-06-2018-1781, under the authority of Section 309(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(a), citing violations for failure to properly operate and maintain all treatment facilities and systems at all times and to take all reasonable steps to minimize upsets and discharges in violation of the NPDES permit that have a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.
- 12. Respondent had a caustic soda ("NaOH") chemical spill at the wastewater treatment plant on January 5, 2018, resulting in an upset and pass through of the treatment works. The incident caused numerous violations of its NPDES permit limits and a fish kill. Respondent has violated the permit and is therefore in violation of Section 301 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311.
- 11. Under Section 309(g)(2)(A) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(A), as modified by 40 C.F.R. Part 19, Respondent is liable for a civil penalty in an amount not to exceed \$21,393 per day for each day during which a violation continues, up to a maximum of \$53,484.

- 12. EPA has notified the New Mexico Environment Department of the issuance of this Complaint and has afforded the State an opportunity to consult with EPA regarding the assessment of an administrative penalty against Respondent as required by Section 309(g)(1) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(1).
- 13. EPA has notified the public of the filing of this Complaint and has afforded the public thirty (30) days in which to comment on the Complaint and on the proposed penalty as required by Section 309(g)(4)(A) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(4)(A). At the expiration of the notice period, EPA will consider any comments filed by the public.

III. Proposed Penalty

- 14. Based on the foregoing Findings, and pursuant to the Authority of Sections 309(g)(1) and (g)(2)(A) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(g)(1) and (g)(2)(A), EPA Region 6 hereby proposes to assess against Respondent a penalty of fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000.00).
- 15. The proposed penalty amount was determined based on the statutory factors specified in Section 309(g)(3), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(3), which includes such factors as the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violations, economic benefits, if any, prior history of such violations, if any, degree of culpability, and such matters as justice may require.

IV. Failure to File an Answer

16. If Respondent wishes to deny or explain any material allegation listed in the above Findings or to contest the amount of the penalty proposed, Respondent must file an Answer to this Complaint within thirty (30) days after service of this Complaint whether or not Respondent requests a hearing as discussed below.

- 17. The requirements for such an Answer are set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 22.15. Failure to file an Answer to this Complaint within thirty (30) days after service of the Complaint shall constitute an admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of the right to hearing. Failure to deny or contest any individual material allegation contained in the Complaint will constitute an admission as to that finding or conclusion under 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(d).
- 18. If Respondent does not file an Answer to this Complaint within thirty (30) days after service of this Complaint, a Default Order may be issued against Respondent pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.17. A Default Order, if issued, would constitute a finding of liability, and could make the full amount of the penalty proposed in this Complaint due and payable by Respondent without further proceedings thirty (30) days after a final Default Order is issued.
- 19. Respondent must send its Answer to this Complaint, including any request for a hearing, and all other pleadings to:
 - 20. Regional Hearing Clerk (6RC-D)

U.S. EPA, Region 6 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, TX 75202-2733

21. Respondent shall also send a copy of its Answer to this Complaint to the following EPA attorney assigned to this case:

Mr. Tucker Henson (6RC-EW) U.S. EPA, Region 6 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, TX 75202-2733

22. The Answer must be signed by Respondent, Respondent's counsel, or other representative on behalf of Respondent and must contain all information required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.5 and 22.15, including the name, address, and telephone number of Respondent and Respondent's counsel. All other pleadings must be similarly signed and filed.

V. Notice of Opportunity to Request a Hearing

- 23. Respondent may request a hearing to contest any material allegation contained in this Complaint, or to contest the appropriateness of the amount of the proposed penalty, pursuant to Section 309(g) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g). The procedures for hearings are set out at 40 C.F.R. Part 22, including 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.50 through 22.52.
- 24. Any request for hearing should be included in the Respondent's Answer to this Complaint; however, as discussed above, Respondent must file an Answer to this Complaint meeting the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 22.15 in order to preserve the right to a hearing or to pursue other relief.
- 25. Should a hearing be requested, members of the public who commented on the issuance of the Complaint during the public comment period will have a right to be heard and to present evidence at such hearing under Section 309(g)(4)(B) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(4)(B).

VI. Settlement

26. EPA encourages all parties against whom civil penalties are proposed to pursue the possibility of settlement through informal meetings with EPA. Regardless of whether a formal hearing is requested, Respondent may confer informally with EPA about the alleged violations or the amount of the proposed penalty. Respondent may wish to appear at any informal conference or formal hearing personally, by counsel or other representative, or both. To request an informal conference on the matters described in this Complaint, please contact Mr. Mike Tillman, of my staff, at (214) 665-7531.

Docket No. CWA-06-2018-1786 Page 7

27. If this action is settled without a formal hearing and issuance of an opinion by the

Presiding Officer pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.27, this action will be concluded by issuance of a

Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO") pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b). The issuance of

a CAFO would waive Respondent's right to a hearing on any matter stipulated therein or alleged

in the Complaint. Any person who commented on this Complaint would be notified and given an

additional thirty (30) days to petition EPA to set aside any such CAFO and to hold a hearing on

the issues raised in the Complaint. Such a petition would be granted and a hearing held only if the

evidence presented by the petitioner's comment was material and was not considered by EPA in

the issuance of the CAFO.

28. Neither assessment nor payment of a penalty in resolution of this action will affect

Respondent's continuing obligation to comply with all requirements of the Act, the applicable

regulations and permits, and any separate Compliance Order issued under Section 309(a) of the

Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(a), including one relating to the violations alleged herein.

5/9/2018

Cheryl T. Seager

Director

Compliance Assurance and Enforcement Division

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing Class I Administrative Complaint was sent to the following persons, in the manner specified, on the date below:

Original hand-delivered:

Regional Hearing Clerk (6RC-D)

U.S. EPA, Region 6

1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200

Dallas, TX 75202-2733

Copy by certified mail,

Return receipt requested:

The Honorable Linda Calhoun

Mayor, Town of Red River

Town of Red River P.O. Box 1020

Red River, NM 87558

Copy by mail:

Ms. Shelly Lemon

Chief

Surface Water Quality Bureau

New Mexico Environment Department

P.O. Box 5469

Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469

Copy hand-delivered:

Mr. Tucker Henson (6RC-EW)

U.S. EPA, Region 6

1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200

Dallas, TX 75202-2733

Dated:

4 0 MAY 2018

Jackie Celle