

UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR

In the Matter of:)
)
HUNTE KENNEL SYSTEMS AND) **Docket No. FIFRA-07-2009-0003**
ANIMAL CARE, INC.,)
)
Respondent.)

PREHEARING ORDER

As you have been previously notified, I am designated to preside over this proceeding. This proceeding will be governed by the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation or Suspension of Permits, 40 C.F.R. § 22.1 et seq., (“Rules of Practice”). The parties are advised to familiarize themselves with the applicable statute(s) and the Rules of Practice.

Agency policy strongly supports settlement and the procedures regarding documenting settlements are set forth in Section 22.18 of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. §22.18. If settlement discussions in this proceeding have been undertaken, the parties are commended for taking the initiative to resolve this matter informally and expeditiously. Each party is reminded that pursuing this matter through a hearing and possible appeals will require the expenditure of significant amounts of time and financial resources. The parties should also realistically consider the risk of not prevailing in the proceeding despite such expenditures. A settlement allows the parties to control the outcome of the case, whereas a judicial decision takes such control away. With such thoughts in mind the parties are directed to engage in a settlement conference on or before **June 5, 2009**, and attempt to reach an amicable resolution of this matter. The Complainant shall file a status report regarding settlement on or before **June 10, 2009**. If the case is settled, the Consent Agreement and Final Order signed by the parties should be filed no later than **July 1, 2009**, with a copy to the undersigned.

Should a Consent Agreement not be finalized on or before that date, the parties must prepare for hearing and shall strictly comply with the prehearing requirements of this Order.

This Order is issued pursuant to Section 22.19(a) of the Rules. Accordingly, it is directed that the following prehearing exchange take place between the parties:

1. Pursuant to Section 22.19(a) of the Rules, each party shall file with the Regional Hearing Clerk and shall serve on the opposing party and on the Presiding Judge:

- A. the names of the expert and other witnesses intended to be called at hearing, identifying each as a fact witness or expert witness, with a brief narrative summary of their expected testimony, or a statement that no witnesses will be called;
- B. copies of all documents and exhibits intended to be introduced into evidence. Included among the documents produced shall be a curriculum vita or resume for each identified expert witness. The documents and exhibits shall be identified as Complainant's or Respondent's exhibit, as appropriate, and numbered with Arabic numerals (e.g., CX 1 or RX 1); and
- C. a statement of its views on the appropriate place of hearing and an estimate of the time needed to present its direct case. See Sections 22.21(d) and 22.19(d) of the Rules. *State whether any translation services are necessary for testimony, and language to be translated.*

2. In addition, the Complainant shall submit the following as part of its Initial Prehearing Exchange:

- A. a copy of the EPA registration and approved label(s) for “Zoecon RF-43 Emulsified Liquid” a/k/a “Prolate/Lintox-HD Insecticidal Spray and Backrubber for Livestock” referenced in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint;
- B. a copy of the EPA registration, cancellation and/or termination thereof, and approved label(s) for “Vet-Kem Kemolate Emulsified Liquid” a/k/a “PARAMITE” referenced in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint;
- C. a copy of any and all documents supporting of the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint, including therein a definition of the term “*supplementally*” distribute;
- D. a copy of any and all reports of the inspections referenced in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint, and copies of any photographs, videos, field notes, site maps, samples, documentation including purchase orders and statements, illustrations or diagrams taken or provided in connection therewith;
- E. a copy of any and all documents supporting the truth of the factual allegations contained in Paragraphs 19-21, 25, 28, 31, 34, 37, 40, 43, and 46 of the Complaint;

- F. a copy of the Enforcement Response Policy for the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), July 2, 1990, and a copy of any other penalty policy upon which Complainant has relied upon, or intends to rely upon, in calculating the proposed penalty;
- G. a narrative statement explaining in detail the calculation of the proposed penalty, discussing each of the penalty assessment factors set forth in Section 14(a)(4) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. 136l(a)(4); and
- H. a statement regarding whether the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (PRA), 44 U.S.C. § 3501 et seq., applies to this proceeding, whether there is a current Office of Management and Budget control number involved herein and whether the provisions of Section 3512 of the PRA are applicable in this case.

3. The Respondent shall also submit the following as part of its Prehearing Exchange:

- A. a narrative statement as to whether Respondent admits or denies that it *ever* distributed or sold the product identified in paragraph 13 of the Complaint in paragraph 13;
- B. a narrative statement as to whether Respondent admits or denies that it *ever* distributed or sold the product identified in paragraph 14 of the Complaint;
- C. For each such product identified in the Complaint which Respondent admits ever distributing or selling, provide a narrative statement and a copy of any documents in support thereof, as to the following: (i) the time period during which such sales occurred; (ii) from whom Respondent acquired such product; (iii) any authorization Respondent received from such provider in regard to sale or distribution of the product; (iv) whether Respondent repackaged the product before distribution or sale, and if so describe in detail how the product was repackaged including the labeling attached thereto; (v) to whom Respondent distributed and/or sold the product; (vi) from which facilities and/or locations Respondent distributed or sold the product; and (vii) the period of time during which Respondent distributed or sold such product;
- D. If Respondent is taking the position that either or both of the products identified in the Complaint in paragraphs 13 and 14 are not “pesticides,” provide a narrative statement detailing the factual and legal bases for such denial, including therein the purposes for which Respondent intended the product to be used;

- E. a narrative statement, and a copy of any documents in support, explaining clearly and in detail the factual and/or legal bases for Respondent's denial of the truth of the allegations contained in paragraphs 19-48 of the Complaint;
- F. a narrative statement, and a copy of any documents in support, explaining in detail the factual and/or legal bases for Respondent's assertions in paragraphs 50 and 51 of the Answer that "any conduct by Respondent which caused the alleged violations was de minimis in nature, was not a material part of Respondent's ordinary and customary business, and did not result in any material financial gain or advantage to Respondent, and that the proposed civil penalty vastly outweighs any alleged culpability or harm from Respondent's actions;"
- G. if Respondent takes the position that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty, a copy of any and all documents it intends to rely upon in support of such position; and
- H. if Respondent takes the position that the proposed penalty should be reduced or eliminated on any other grounds, a detailed statement of such position, and a copy of any and all documents it intends to rely upon in support of such position.

4. Complainant shall submit as part of its Rebuttal Prehearing Exchange a statement and/or any documents in response to Respondent's Prehearing Exchange submittals as to provisions 3(A) through 3(H) above.

The prehearing exchanges called for above shall be filed in seriatim fashion, pursuant to the following schedule:

- July 1, 2009** - Complainant's Initial Prehearing Exchange
- August 3, 2009** - Respondent's Prehearing Exchange, including any direct and/or rebuttal evidence
- August 21, 2009** - Complainant's Rebuttal Prehearing Exchange

Section 22.19(a) of the Rules of Practice provides that, except in accordance with Section 22.22(a), any document not included in the prehearing exchange shall not be admitted into

evidence, and any witness whose name and testimony summary are not included in the prehearing exchange shall not be allowed to testify. Therefore, each party should thoughtfully prepare its prehearing exchange. Any supplements to prehearing exchanges shall be filed with an accompanying motion to supplement the prehearing exchange.

The Complaint herein gave the Respondent notice and opportunity for a hearing, in accordance with Section 554 of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), 5 U.S.C. § 554. In its Answer to the Complaint, the Respondent requested such a hearing. In this regard, Section 554(c)(2) of the APA sets out that a hearing be conducted under Section 556 of the APA. Section 556(d) provides that a party is entitled to present its case or defense by oral or documentary evidence, to submit rebuttal evidence, and to conduct such cross-examination as may be required for a full and true disclosure of the facts. Thus, the Respondent has the right to defend itself against the Complainant's charges by way of direct evidence, rebuttal evidence or through cross-examination of the Complainant's witnesses. Respondent is entitled to elect any or all three means to pursue its defenses. If the Respondent intends to elect only to conduct cross-examination of Complainant's witnesses and to forgo the presentation of direct and/or rebuttal evidence, the Respondent shall serve a statement to that effect on or before the date for filing its prehearing exchange. **The Respondent is hereby notified that its failure to either comply with the prehearing exchange requirements set forth herein or to state that it is electing only to conduct cross-examination of the Complainant's witnesses, can result in the entry of a default judgment against it.** The Complainant is notified that its failure to file its prehearing exchange in a timely manner can result in a dismissal of the case with prejudice.

The mere pendency of settlement negotiations or even the existence of a settlement in principle does not constitute a basis for failing to strictly comply with the prehearing exchange requirements. Only the filing with the Hearing Clerk of a fully executed Consent Agreement and Final Order, or an Order of the Judge, excuses noncompliance with filing deadlines. The parties are advised **NOT** to include, attach or refer to any terms of settlement offers or agreements in any document submitted to the Presiding Judge, and no copies of Consent Agreements and Final Orders shall be submitted, or attached to any document submitted to the Presiding Judge except those that are fully executed and filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

Prehearing exchange information required by this Order to be sent to the Presiding Judge, as well as any other further pleadings, if sent by mail, shall be addressed as follows:

The Honorable Susan L. Biro
Chief Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Law Judges
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Mail Code 1900L
1200 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460

Hand-delivered packages transported by Federal Express or another delivery service which x-rays their packages as part of their routine security procedures, may be delivered directly to the Offices of the Administrative Law Judges at 1099 14th Street, N.W., Suite 350, Washington, D.C. 20005.

Telephone contact may be made with my legal assistant, Maria Whiting-Beale at (202) 564-6259 or my staff attorney, Lisa Knight, Esquire at (202) 564-6291. The facsimile number is (202) 565-0044.

If any party wishes to receive, by e-mail or by facsimile, an expedited courtesy copy of decisions and substantive orders issued in this proceeding, the party shall submit a request for expedited courtesy copies by letter addressed to Maria Whiting-Beale, Legal Staff Assistant, Office of Administrative Law Judges, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 1900L, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. The letter shall include the case docket number, the e-mail address or facsimile number to which the copies are to be sent, and a statement as to whether the party requests: (A) expedited courtesy copies of the initial decision and/or any orders on motion for accelerated decision or dismissal, or (B) expedited courtesy copies of all decisions and substantive orders. The undersigned's office will endeavor to comply with such requests, but does not guarantee the party's receipt of expedited courtesy copies.

Prior to filing any motion, the moving party is directed to contact the other party or parties to determine whether the other party has any objection to the granting of the relief sought in the motion. The motion shall then state the position of the other party or parties. The mere consent of the other parties to the relief sought does not assure that the motion will be granted and no reliance should be placed on the granting of an unopposed motion. Furthermore, all motions which do not state that the other party has no objection to the relief sought must be submitted in sufficient time to permit the filing of a response by that party and the issuance of a ruling on the motion, before any relevant deadline set by this or any subsequent order. Sections 22.16(b) and 22.7(c) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.16(b) and 22.7(c), allow a fifteen-day response period for motions with an additional five days added thereto if the pleading is served by mail. Motions and responses not filed in a timely manner may not be considered without motion for leave to file the document and a showing of good cause.

Furthermore, upon the filing of a motion, a response to a motion, or a reply to a motion, a party may submit a written request for an oral argument on the motion, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.16(d). Included in the request for oral argument shall be a statement as to the proposed appropriate location(s) for the argument to take place. The Office of Administrative Law Judges recently acquired access to state of the art videoconferencing capabilities, and strongly encourages the parties to consider utilizing such technology for oral arguments on motions so as to minimize the expenditure of time and monetary resources in connection with such arguments. A request for oral argument may be granted, in the undersigned's discretion, where further clarification and elaboration of arguments would be of assistance in ruling on the motion.

If either party intends to file any dispositive motion regarding liability, such as a motion for accelerated decision or motion to dismiss under 40 C.F.R. § 22.20(a), it shall be filed **within thirty days after the due date for Complainant's Rebuttal Prehearing Exchange.**



Susan L. Biro
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Dated: May 19, 2009
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of Hunte Kennel Systems and Animal Care, Inc., Respondent
Docket No. FIFRA-07-2009-0003

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing **Prehearing Order**, dated May 19, 2009, was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees listed below.



Maria Whiting-Beale
Staff Assistant

Dated: May 19, 2009

Original And One Copy By Hand To:

Sybil Anderson
Headquarters Hearing Clerk
U.S. EPA
Mail Code 1900L
Office of Administrative Law Judges
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20460-2001

Copy By Pouch Mail To:

Chris Muehlberger, Esquire
Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. EPA
901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101

Copy By Regular Mail To:

John E. Price, Esquire
Carnahan Evans Cantewll & Brown, PC
2805 S. Ingram Mill Road
Springfield, MO 65804