1		UNITED STATES	
2	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY		
3	REGION 8 2007 35 Pilot Tolking		
4		Docket No. TSCA-08-2007-0007	
5			
6	In the Matter of:)	
7			
8	Kief-Riddell Partnership,) PENALTY COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF	
4)		OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING	
10	Respondent.)	
11			
12	INTRODUCTION (JURISDICTION)		
13			
14	1. This civil administrative enforcement action is authorized by Congress in the		
15	Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act ("Residential Lead Hazard Act") and the		
16	Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA"). 42 U.S.C. § 4851 et seq, and 15 U.S.C. § 2601 et seq.		
17	EPA regulations authorized by the statutes are set out in part 745, subpart F of title 40 of the		
18	Code of Federal Regulations and, as set out in 42 U.S.C. § 4852d (b)(5), violations of the		
19	regulations constitute violations of section 16 of TSCA. The rules for this proceeding are the		
20	"Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties,		
21	Issuance of Compliance or Corrective Action Orders and the Revocation, Termination or		
22	Suspension of Permits ("Rules of Practice")," 40 C.F.R. part 22, a copy of which is enclosed.		
23			
24	2. The undersigned EPA officials have been properly delegated the authority to issue		
25	this action.		
26			
27	 EPA alleges that Respondent has failed to comply with federal regulations 		
28	regarding the disclosure of lead-based paint hazards, found at 40 C.F.R. part 745, subpart F and,		
29	therefore, is in violation of the Residential Lead Hazard Act and TSCA. As a result, EPA		
30	proposes the assessment of a civil penalty, as more fully explained below. 42 U.S.C.		
31	§ 4852d(b)(5), 15 U.S.C. § 2689.		
32			
33	NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR A HEARING		
34			
35	 Respondent has the r 	ight to a public hearing before an administrative law judge	
36	(ALJ) to contest (1) any fact alleged by EPA in the complaint, or (2) the appropriateness of the		
37	proposed penalty, or both.		
38			
39	5. To disagree with the	Complaint and assert your right to a hearing, Respondent	
40	must file a written answer (and one copy) with the Regional Hearing Clerk (1595 Wynkoop		
41	Street, Denver, CO 80202-1129) not more than 30 days after receiving this Complaint and		
42	provide a copy to the enforcement attorney listed below. The answer must clearly admit, deny or		
43	explain the factual allegations of the Complaint, the grounds for any defense, the facts you may		
44	dispute, and your specific request for a public hearing. Please see section 22.15 of the Rules of		
	The state of the s		

Practice for a complete description of what must be in your answer. FAILURE TO FILE AN ANSWER AND REQUEST FOR HEARING WITHIN 30 DAYS MAY WAIVE RESPONDENT'S RIGHT TO DISAGREE WITH THE ALLEGATIONS OR PROPOSED PENALTY AND RESULT IN A DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PENALTY PROPOSED IN THE COMPLAINT.

5 6 7

QUICK RESOLUTION

6. Respondent may resolve this proceeding at any time by paying the specific penalty proposed in the Complaint. Such payment need not contain any response to, or admission of, the allegations in the Complaint. Such payment constitutes a waiver of Respondent's right to contest the allegations and to appeal the final order. See section 22.18 of the Rules of Practice for a full explanation of the quick resolution process, including how extensions of time to pay can be obtained.

SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS

7. EPA encourages settlement discussions through informal settlement conferences. If you want to pursue the possibility of settling this matter, or have any other questions, contact Eduardo Quintana, Senior Enforcement Attorney, at 303-312-6924 or 1-800-227-8917; extension 312-6924 or at the address below. Please note that contacting the attorney or requesting a settlement conference does NOT delay the running of the 30 day period for either paying the penalty or filing an answer and requesting a hearing.

ALLEGATIONS

8. Respondent is the Kief-Riddell Partnership, ("Respondent").

9. EPA regulations require, among other things, that an owner of housing constructed before 1978 shall, prior to obligating a lessee under a contract to lease or rent the housing, provide or include in or attach to the leasing contract, (1) an EPA-approved lead hazard information pamphlet, (2) a lead warning statement, (3) a statement disclosing the presence of any known lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards (or lack of knowledge of such presence), (4) a list of any records or reports available to the owner related to lead-based paint or hazards (or a statement that no such records exist), (5) a statement by the renter/lessee that he/she received the above information, and (6) signatures (dated) by both parties certifying the accuracy of their statements. 40 C.F.R. §§ 745.107(a)(1) and 745.113(b).

10. Respondent is, and at all times relevant to this Complaint has been, the owner, as that term is defined in 40 C.F.R. § 745.103, of the Tyrol Apartments property located at 4827 Thunderbird Dr., Boulder, Colorado 80303.

- 11. The property located at 4827 Thunderbird Dr. is "residential real property" within the meaning of § 1004(24) of the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992, 42 U.S.C. § 4851b(24), that was constructed before 1978.
- 12. The Tyrol Apartments property consists of approximately 91 "residential dwelling" units, within the meaning of § 1004(23) of the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992, 42 U.S.C. § 4851b(23), and 40 C.F.R. § 745.103.
- 13. Prior to February 5, 2007, Respondent was not providing an EPA-approved lead hazard information pamphlet prior to entering into lease contracts. Also prior to February 5, 2007, Respondent was not including a lead warning disclosure statement, as defined in 40 C.F.R. § 745.113(b), within its lease contracts.
- 14. During the month of December 2006, Respondent entered into written lease agreement (lease contract) with James and Mike Hammerstone for the rental of Apartment 60, a residential apartment unit in the Tyrol Apartments complex. As part of entering into this lease agreement, Respondent failed to comply with the following requirements:
 - a. Respondent failed to provide an EPA-approved lead hazard information pamphlet prior to entering into the lease contract of Apartment 60 with James and Mike Hammerstone as described above in violation of 40 C.F.R. § 745.107(a)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 4852d(b)(5), 15 U.S.C. § 2689.
 - b. Respondent failed to include a lead warning statement within the lease contract described above before the renters/lessees were obligated in violation of 40 C.F.R. § 745.113(b)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 4852d(b)(5), 15 U.S.C. § 2689.
 - c. Respondent failed to include a statement disclosing the presence of any known lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards (or lack of knowledge of such presence), within the lease contract described above before the renters/lessees were obligated in violation of 40 C.F.R. § 745.113(b)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 4852d(b)(5), 15 U.S.C. § 2689.
 - d. Respondent failed to include a list of any records or reports available to the owner related to lead-based paint or hazards (or a statement that no such records exist) within the lease contract described above before the renters/lessees were obligated in violation of 40 C.F.R. § 745.113(b)(3), 42 U.S.C. § 4852d(b)(5), 15 U.S.C. § 2689.
 - e. Respondent failed to include a statement by the renters/lessees that they received the information described above in violation 40 C.F.R. § 745.113(b)(4), 42 U.S.C. § 4852d(b)(5), 15 U.S.C. § 2689.

f. Respondent failed to include the signature of the lessor and lessee certifying to the accuracy of the their statements, to the best of their knowledge along with the dates of signature, in violation 40 C.F.R. § 745.113(b)(6), 42 U.S.C. § 4852d(b)(5), 15 U.S.C. § 2689.

PROPOSED CIVIL PENALTY

7 8

15. The Residential Lead Hazard Act and TSCA, as amended by subsequent penalty adjustment law, authorize the assessment of a civil penalty of up to \$11,000 for each violation of the EPA regulations. In determining the amount of any civil penalty assessed, EPA is required to take into account the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violation or violations alleged and, with respect to the violator, ability to pay, effect on ability to continue to do business, any history of prior such violations, the degree of culpability, and such other factors as justice may require. **EPA proposes that a penalty of Three Thousand Nine Hundred and Sixty Dollars (\$3,960.00)** be assessed against Respondent for the violations alleged above.

16. EPA calculates penalties through the application of a national enforcement response policy that provides a rational, consistent and equitable calculation methodology for applying the statutory factors to particular cases. As discussed in the policy, the severity of each violation alleged in the complaint is based on the extent to which each violation impairs the ability of a lessee to assess information regarding hazards associated with lead-based paint, and precludes the lessee from making a fully informed decision whether to lease the housing or take appropriate measures to protect against lead-based paint hazards.

17. The penalty was calculated using the Section 1018 of Title X of the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act – Disclosure Rule Enforcement Response Policy (ERP), dated February 2000, a copy of which is enclosed.

Nature, Circumstances, Extent and Gravity of Violations

The nature of the violations is hazard assessment, as discussed on page 9 of the ERP. The circumstance level of the violations ranged from Level 1 to Level 6, based on the circumstance level matrix in Appendix B of the ERP. The extent level of the violations was minor, based on the extent level matrix on page B-4 of the ERP. Using the gravity-based penalty matrix on page B-4 of the ERP, which combines the circumstance and extent level for each Count, the gravity-based penalty amount is \$4,950.00.

Statutory Factors

Ability to pay/ability to continue in business: EPA does not have any information on the Respondent's ability to pay. No adjustment has been made using this factor.

History of prior violations: This factor only adjusts the penalty upward. No history of prior violations found, so no adjustment has been made using this factor Degree of culpability: The Residential Lead Hazard Act has been in effect since 1996. The Respondent should have had sufficient knowledge to recognize the hazard created by his conduct, and/or significant control over the situation to avoid committing the violation. However, no adjustment has been made using this factor. Other factors as justice may require: No adjustments made at this time regarding these factors, except for the following: Adjustment for attitude: Since Respondent took steps to comply with the disclosure rule after the EPA inspection, EPA has reduced the penalty by 20%.

The total adjusted penalty is \$3,960.

 18. The ALJ is not bound by EPA's penalty policy nor the penalty proposed in the Complaint and may assess a penalty above the proposed amount, up to the maximum amount authorized by the statute.

1 2	In the Matter of: Kief-Riddell Partnersh Docket No.: TSCA-08-2007-0007	nip	
3	136A-08-2007-0007		
4			
5	To discuss settlement or ask any questions you may have about this process, please contact Eduardo Quintana, Senior Enforcement Attorney, at the number or address below.		
7			
8		ed States Environmental Protection Agency on 8, Office of Enforcement, Compliance and	
10		Environmental Justice, Complainant	
11			
13			
14	1 7		
15	Date: $9/20/07$ By:	Mark Ver	
16		Martin Hestmark, Director	
17		Technical Enforcement Program	
18			
19		1	
20	Date: 20 September 2007 By:	(Vied X Cak	
22	Date. of market the	Michael T. Risner, Director	
23		David J. Janik, Supervisory Attorney	
24		Legal Enforcement Program	
25		24	
26		614 123-	
27	Date: 9/18/2007	A fundamo	
28	7 /	Eduardo Quintana,	
29		Senior Enforcement Attorney	
30		Legal Enforcement Program	
31		U.S.E.P.A. Region 8	
32		1595 Wynkoop Street (ENF-L)	
33		Denver, CO 80202-1129 303,312,6924	
34		1.800.227.6924; ext. 312-6924	
35		1.000.227.0724, CAL 312-0724	
36			

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION 8** I hereby certify that on this 25 day of September 2007, a copy of the foregoing Penalty Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, with enclosures was served by certified mail, return receipt requested to: Kief-Riddell Partnership Monika Kief, Mng. General 630 W. Lakeside Dr. Fullerton, CA 92635-1511 The original and one copy was hand-delivered to: Tina Artemis Region 8 Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, Colorado 80202 Ser 25 2007 Signature: Date: Name and Title: