October 28, 2009

Margaret J. (Peggy) Livingston Enforcement Attorney U.S. EPA Region 8 1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, Colorado 80202

RE: Request a Hearing SDWA - 08 - 2009 - 0087

Respondent - Harry Washut

I request a hearing.

Harry Washut

October 28, 2009


Margaret J. (Peggy) Livingston Enforcement Attorney U.S. EPA Region 8 1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, Colorado 80202

RE: Request a Hearing SDWA - 08 - 2009 - 0087

Respondent - Grand Teton Park Resort INC

Grand Teton RV Resort INC would like to request a hearing, as we are not the entity operating. Grand Teton park LLC has operated the campground since 2007.

Harry Washut



October 28, 2009

Margaret J. (Peggy) Livingston Enforcement Attorney U.S. EPA Region 8 1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, Colorado 80202 Tina Artemis
Region 8 Hearing Clerk (8RC)
U.S. Environmental Agency
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, CO 80202

Grand Teton Park RV Resort

RE: Request a Hearing SDWA - 08 - 2009 - 0087

Respondent - Harry Washut

## **Answers to Complaints**

### COUNTS OF VIOLATION

### COUNT 1

### Violation of Maximum Contaminant Level for Total Coliform

Upon testing the east well in November 2008 I got notice of High TC positive result. I immediately upon receiving the results addressed the system. I flushed and chlorinated the system, taking re-samples in a series. Informing my wife and other employees in the dorm building of the test results in person and told them not to drink the water; that I was chlorinating the east well system.

### COUNT 2

# Failure to provide public notice of violations

Public notice was given.

### COUNT 3

## Failure to Report MCL Violations to EPA

The respondents reported the bad sample results to Mike Dart at Teton County Health and Lab and also called and talked to Charla Colson about the number of re-samples needed.

## **COUNT 4**

# Failure to Report Public Notice Violations to EPA

Respondents provided public notice. The December 2008 violations were the continuing re - sampling that started with the November bad sample.

I oppose the proposed penalty and feel the penalty to be excessive considering the few people at risk mainly employees who were totally aware of the situation.

Harry Washut