

EXHIBIT B-10

Comments Submitted to EPA by Peter Bormuth

West Bay Exploration Company (WBEC), Haystead #9 SWD
(Permit #MI-079-2D-0010)

**Administrative Record
Item # 52**

April 16, 2013

Peter Bormuth

Miller, Anna

From: peter bormuth <earthprayer@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 16, 2013 8:58 PM
To: Miller, Anna
Cc: anne.woiwode@sierraclub.org; rita.chapman@sierraclub.org
Subject: Petition For Review: Haystead #9 permit (Permit No. MI-075-2D-0010)

Ms. Miller. Mr. Elkins

Please be informed that i will comment on Haystead #9 permit (Permit No. MI-075-2D-0010) and that i will file a petition for review. I seriously suggest you delay holding a hearing and issuing this permit until the Petition For Review of West Bay #22 is resolved by the EAB. All of the same comments on contaminants and geology will be inserted into the record, as well as a charge of fraud.

I am not pleased with the actions of the EPA with regard to these wells, nor with West Bay Oil Exploration. If this indicates that you are, and have been, permitting this anhydrite strata for injection across Southern Michigan - you are in for a fight.

My review shows that there might be a strata suitable for injection - over 1500 feet below where you have placed it.

I am not a geologist or a expert witness. However i am a Druid with 19 years of training so please don't come Jackson County and the State of Michigan cutting corners. I can read geological strata maps, scientific studies, and drilling logs. In Michigan we live in the middle of 1/5 of the Earth's fresh water and the MDEQ is leasing tracts for fracking and permitting oil waste injection technologies on an unprecedented scale. These technologies have already caused problems in our Southwestern and Great Plains arid lands and they do not belong in Michigan, certainly not without far more stringent safety requirments. This christian Republican push to extract the last resouces of our State for pennies on the dollar while threatening our future is a tragedy.

Here in Michigan we look to the EPA for help in this situation, not for complaine and hindrence of legitimate opposition. The responsibility of the EPA is to acknowledge regional differences and to vary regulations accordingly.

In this case they should be protecting the Great Lakes watershed as one of our country's most valuable resources - instead of selling out for one last oil and gas rush that will very conceivably threaten our underground sources of drinking water in this State.

The EPA's actions in this case suggest a need for reappraisal and i will do what i can to see that reappraisal is publicly undertaken.

Peter Bormuth