obligations and expenditures against each appropriation or fund to the amount of the apportionment or the reapportionment; and

(b) Fix responsibility for the control of appropriations or funds to high level officials who bear the responsibility for apportionment or reapportionment control. (31 U.S.C. 1514)

§ 1.671 Definitions.

For the purpose of §§ 1.670 through 1.673, the following definitions apply:

(a) *Administrative subdivision of funds.* An administrative subdivision of funds is any administrative subdivision of an appropriation or fund which makes funds available in a specified amount for the purpose of controlling apportionments or reapportionments.

(b) *Allotment.* An allotment is an authorization by the Director, Office of Budget and Finance to department and staff office heads (allottees) to incur obligations within specified amounts, during a specified period, pursuant to an Office of Management and Budget apportionment or reapportionment action. The creation of an obligation in excess of an allotment is a violation of the administrative subdivision of funds.

(c) *Allowance.* An allowance is a subdivision below the allotment level, and is a guideline which may be issued by department or staff office heads (allottees) to facility directors and other officials, showing the expenditure pattern or operating budget they will be expected to follow in light of the program activities contemplated by the overall VA budget or plan of expenditure. The creation of an obligation in excess of an allowance is not a violation of the administrative subdivision of funds. (31 U.S.C. 1514)

§ 1.672 Responsibilities.

(a) The issuance of an allotment to the department and staff office heads (allottees) is required and is the responsibility of the Director, Office of Budget and Finance. The sum of such allotments shall not be in excess of the amount indicated in the apportionment or reapportionment document.

(b) The issuance of an allowance is discretionary with department or staff office heads (allottees), as an allowance is merely a management device which allottees may utilize in carrying out their responsibilities. Allottees are responsible for keeping obligations within the amounts of their allotments, whether allowances are issued or not.

(c) The Director, Office of Budget and Finance is responsible for requesting apportionments and reapportionments from the Office of Management and Budget. Department and staff heads shall promptly request that an appropriation or fund be reapportioned if feasible whenever it appears that obligations may exceed the level of the apportionment. (31 U.S.C. 1514)

§ 1.673 Responsibility for violations of the administrative subdivision of funds.

(a) In the event an allotment or an apportionment is exceeded except in the circumstances described in paragraph (b) of this section, the following factors will be considered in determining which official, or officials, are responsible for the violation.

(1) Knowledge of circumstances which could lead to an allotment or apportionment being exceeded;

(2) Whether the official had received explicit instructions to continue or cease incurring obligations;

(3) Whether any action was taken in contravention of or with disregard for, instructions to monitor obligations incurred;

(4) Whether the official had the authority to curtail obligations by directing a change in the manner of operations of the department or staff office; or

(5) Any other facts which tend to fix the responsibility for the obligations which resulted in the allotment or apportionment being exceeded.

(b) In the event that the sum of the allotments made in a particular fiscal year exceeds the amount apportioned by the Office of Management and Budget, and the apportionment is subsequently exceeded because of this action, the official who made the excess allotments will be the official responsible for the violation. (31 U.S.C. 1514)

[FR Doc. 83-17883 Filed 7-1-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[A-10-FRL 2333-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Alaska

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA today approves revisions to the Alaska State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted on January 18, 1980, February 29, 1980 and September 29, 1982. These revisions were submitted to satisfy the requirements of Sections 110 (Implementation Plans) and 123 (Stack Heights), Part C (Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality and Visibility Protection for Federal Class I Areas) and Part D (Plan Requirements for Nonattainment Areas) of the Clean Air Act (hereinafter the Act). Approval of these revisions, together with the previously approved attainment plans for Anchorage and Fairbanks, ambient monitoring plan and emergency episode plan, results in an entirely new Alaska SIP which allows the State to operate the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) program and removes the Act's construction moratorium in the nonattainment areas.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 5, 1983.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the materials submitted to EPA may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations:

Central Docket Section (10A-79-6), West Tower Lobby, Gallery I, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460

Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101

State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation, 3220 Hospital Drive, Juneau, Alaska 99811

Copy of the State's submittal may be examined at: The Office of Federal Register, 1101 L Street N.W., Room 8401, Washington, D.C.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David C. Bray, Air Programs Branch, M/S 532, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101, Telephone: (206) 442-1980, FTS: 399-1980.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA is today approving a number of revisions to the Alaska SIP which were submitted on January 18, 1980, February 29, 1980 and September 29, 1982. The primary effects of these revisions are to add a PSD program, a nonattainment area permit program, a visibility protection program for Federal Class I areas, and provisions restricting the use of stack heights and dispersion techniques, and to revise the emission limitations for many existing, new, and modified sources. A complete description of each of the three submittals and a discussion of the proposed revisions to the existing SIP are included in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking which was published on January 27, 1983 (48 FR 3787).

Comments were received from a Federal Land Manager (FLM) during the public comment period. EPA responded to the comments by directing the FLM to

HeinOnline -- 48 Fed. Reg. 30623 (1983)

additional portions of the Alaska SIP which adequately addresses all of the FLM's concerns with State/FLM coordination. The comments and EPA's response are available at the locations indicated in the "**ADDRESSES**" section.

The Alaska permit program uses the concept of "net emissions increase", but does not include a specific provision per EPA regulations (40 CFR 51.24(b)(3)(vi)(*c*)) that a decrease in emissions must have approximately the same qualitative significance for public health and welfare as the increase in emissions. However, EPA expects this to have little, if any, adverse impact and the State has confirmed that it will add such a provision within one year of EPA approval. EPA is therefore approving the Alaska permit program with the understanding that the State will amend its program within a year and submit the new provision to EPA as a revision to the SIP.

ADEC has opted to utilize the "emissions allowance" approach, per Section 173(1)(B) of the Act, in their nonattainment area permit program. ADEC's regulations (18 AAC 50.900(18)) require that this emissions allowance be defined in each applicable local air quality control plan. Since the submitted plans for the Anchorage and Fairbanks carbon monoxide (CO) nonattainment areas do not contain a defined emissions allowance, the regulations currently prohibit the permitting of new or modified major sources of CO in these two areas. The nonattainment area permit program also fails to include provisions for alternative analyses as required by Section 172(b)(11)(A) of the Act. However, as long as the approved SIP regulations prohibit the permitting of new or modified major sources of CO, provisions for alternative analyses are not needed. Before new or modified major sources of CO can be permitted in either the Anchorage or Fairbanks CO nonattainment areas, the SIP will have to be revised to define the applicable emissions allowance and provide for alternatives analyses.

The Alaska permit program is designed to regulate existing nonattainment areas, existing Class I areas, and areas presently identified for visibility protection. If additional nonattainment, Class I, or visibility protection areas are designated by the State or responsible Federal agencies in the future, the Alaska program will have to be revised to expand program coverage to include protection of such newly designated areas. Until relevant revisions to the Alaska program are approved by EPA, the Alaska SIP will be deficient with respect to any newly designated areas.

It is important to note that there are four portions of the submittals which are not being approved. Subsection IV.E Status of Non-Compliant Sources discusses the sources which are currently under State variances. Since these variances are not submitted for EPA approval, EPA is taking no action on the subsection which discusses them. Subsection V.D Episode Monitoring refers to the local agencies' carbon monoxide control plans for the episode monitoring programs. However, no episode provisions have been submitted to date. Therefore, EPA is taking no action on this subsection at this time. Appendix II-1: Alaska Statutes, Section 46.03.170 Variances, and Appendix II-2: Fairbanks North Star Borough Air Pollution Control Ordinance, Section 8.04.070 Variances, are provisions which provide discretion to the directors of ADEC and the Fairbanks North Star Borough regarding source compliance. However, since these provisions are not consistent with the EPA requirements on variances (40 CFR 51.34) and need not be included in the EPA-approved SIP in order to implement 40 CFR 51.34, EPA is taking no action on these sections.

Finally, the provisions included in these submittals are intended to replace the existing, EPA-approved SIP (37 FR 10848 and 38 FR 22737). Through this approval EPA is therefore replacing or deleting the provisions of the existing SIP where there are new provisions which supersede the old or where deletion has been justified. However, there is one section of the existing SIP which has not been superseded nor can deletion be justified. This is the old Section V: Air Episode Plan. Since the submittals do not contain a replacement for this section and the Act requires the SIP to contain an emergency episode plan, EPA is not removing this section from the existing SIP.

In summary, EPA is approving the following submittals as revisions to the Alaska SIP:

January 18, 1980—Volume II: Section I; Section II; Section III subsections A.1.c, B and C; Section IV subsections A, B, C, D, F, G and H; Section V subsection B; Volume III: Appendices I-1, I-2, II-1, II-2, II-3, II-4, IV-1, IV-2.D, IV-3 and V-1;

February 29, 1980—Volume III: Appendix II-5;

September 29, 1982 amendments to previous submittals—Volume II: Section I subsection C; Section III subsection D; Section IV subsections C, D, F, G, H and I; Section V subsections C and E; Volume III: Appendix IV-4.

EPA is taking no action on Volume II: Section IV.E and Section V.D, and Volume III: Appendix II-1, Section 46.03.170 and Appendix II-2, Section 8.04.070.

EPA finds that good cause exists for making the action in this Notice immediately effective for the following reasons: (1) The public has had adequate notice of the guidelines for preparation of SIPs and has had several opportunities to comment on those guidelines; and (2) the impact of this rulemaking is limited to the State of Alaska.

Under Executive Order 12291, today's action is not "Major". It has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review.

Portions of this action constitute SIP approvals under Sections 110, 161 and 172. Under 5 U.S.C. Section 605(b), the Administrator has certified that SIP approvals under Section 110, 161 and 172 of the Act do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities (See 46 FR 8709 and 47 FR 35192). The remaining portions of this action constitute SIP approvals under Sections 123 and 169A of the Act. Pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the Administrator hereby certifies that SIP approvals under Sections 123 and 169A will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

(Secs. 110(a), 123, 160 to 169, 169A, 171 to 173, 301(a) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7410(a), 7423, 7470 to 7479, 7491, 7501 to 7503 and 7601(a)))

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Ozone, Sulfur oxides, Nitrogen dioxide, Lead, Particulate matter, Carbon monoxide, Hydrocarbons, Intergovernmental relations.

Dated: June 28, 1983.

William D. Ruckelshaus,

Administrator.

Note.—Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of Alaska was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in July, 1982.

PART 52—[AMENDED]

Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart C—Alaska

1. In § 52.70, paragraph (c)(7) is revised to read as follows:

§ 52.70 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

HeinOnline -- 48 Fed. Reg. 30624 (1983)

(7) Part D attainment plans for the Anchorage and Fairbanks carbon monoxide nonattainment areas submitted by the Governor of Alaska on January 18, 1980 as follows:

Volume II. Analysis of Problems, Control Actions

Section III. *Areawide Pollutant Control Efforts*, Subsection A.
Carbon Monoxide, except subparts 1.c (Other areas) and 5.h (Fairbanks Emergency Avoidance Plan)

Volume III. Appendices

III-1 A Review of Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Motor Vehicles during Cold Temperature Operation
III-2 Cold Weather Related Strategy Support Development
III-3 Preliminary Assessment of Meteorological Conditions during Days of Ambient Air Quality Violations in Anchorage
III-4 Summary of the 1978 Fairbanks Voluntary Vehicle Emissions Inspection Program
III-5 Approach of Evaluating an Alaska I/M Program
III-6 Appendices to the Anchorage Air Quality Plan
III-7 Appendices to the Fairbanks Air Quality Plan

2. In § 52.70, paragraph (c)(8) is removed.

3. In § 52.70, paragraph (c)(9) is renumbered as (c)(8) and revised to read as follows:

§ 52.70 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(8) On January 18, 1980, the State of Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation submitted a plan revision to meet the requirements of Air Quality Monitoring, 40 CFR Part 58, Subpart C, § 58.20, as follows:

Volume II. Analysis of Problems, Control Actions

Section V. *Ambient Air Monitoring*
A. Purpose
C. Air Monitoring Network
E. Annual Review

4. In § 52.70, paragraph (c)(9) is added as set forth below:

§ 52.70 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(9) Provisions of a State Air Quality Control Plan submitted by the Governor of Alaska on January 18, 1980, as follows:

Volume II. Analysis of Problems, Control Actions

Section I. *Introduction*
A. Summary
B. Air Quality Control Regions
C. Attainment/Nonattainment Designations
Section II. *Alaskan Air Quality Control Programs*
A. State Program
B. Local Programs
C. Resource Needs
Section III. *Areawide Pollutant Control Efforts*
A. Carbon Monoxide, Subpart 1.c (Other areas)
B. Total Suspended Particulate Matter
C. Ice Fog
D. Open Burning—Forest Practices
Section IV. *Point Source Control Efforts*
A. Summary
B. Description of Source Categories and Pollutants
C. Summary of Major Emitting Sources
D. History of Alaskan Point Source Program
F. Local Program Enforcement
G. New Source Review and Approval
H. Compliance Assurance
I. State Air Quality Control Regulations
Section V. *Ambient Air Monitoring*
B. Description of Previous Air Monitoring Network

Volume III. Appendices

I-1 Summary of Public Hearings, Written Testimony, etc.
I-2 Recommendations for attainment/Nonattainment designations
II-1 Alaska Statutes, except section 46.03.170
II-2 Regulations of the Fairbanks North Star Borough, except section 8.04.070
II-3 Fairbanks North Star Borough/Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Agreement
II-4 Municipality of Anchorage/Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Agreement
II-5 Alaska State Department of Law Legal Opinion
IV-1 Summaries of Emission Inventories
IV-2 D. Permit to Operate for the Fairbanks Municipal Utilities System
IV-3 Testing Procedures
V-1 Air Quality Data

An amended Appendix II-5, "Alaska State Department of Law Legal Opinion" submitted by the State of Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation on February 29, 1980. Amendments to the January 18, 1980 submittal, submitted by the State of Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation on September 29, 1982 as follows:

Volume II. Analysis of Problems, Control Actions

Section I. *Introduction*
C. Attainment/Nonattainment Designations
Section III. *Areawide Pollutant Control Efforts*
D. Open Burning—Forest Practices
Section IV. *Point Source Control Efforts*
C. Summary of Major Emitting Sources
D. History of Alaskan Point Source Program
F. Local Program Enforcement
G. New Source Review and Approval
H. Compliance Assurance
I. State Air Quality Control Regulations
Section V. *Ambient Air Monitoring*
C. Air Monitoring Network
E. Annual Review

Volume III. Appendices

IV-4 ADEC Ambient Analysis Procedures

5. The table in § 52.71 is revised to read as follows:

§ 52.71 Classification of Regions.

* * * * *

Air quality control Region	Pollutant				
	Particulate matter	Sulfur oxides	Nitrogen dioxide	Carbon monoxide	Ozone
Cook Inlet Intrastate.	I	III	III	I	III.
Northern Alaska Intrastate.	I	III	III	I	III.
South Central Alaska Intrastate.	III	III	III	III	III.
Southeastern Alaska Intrastate.	III	III	III	III	III.

6. In Subpart C, a new § 52.75 is added as follows:

§ 52.75 Contents of the Approved State-Submitted Implementation Plan.

The following sections of the State air quality control plan (as in effect on the date indicated) have been approved and are part of the current State Implementation Plan:

Volume II. Analysis of Problems, Control Actions

Section I. *Introduction*
A. Summary (1/18/80)
B. Air Quality Control Regions (1/18/80)
C. Attainment/Nonattainment Designations (9/29/82)
Section II. *Alaskan Air Quality Control Programs*
A. State Program (1/18/80)
B. Local Programs (1/18/80)
C. Resource Needs (1/18/80)
Section III. *Areawide Pollutant Control Efforts*
A. Carbon Monoxide, except subpart 5.h (Fairbanks Emergency Avoidance Plan) (1/18/80)
B. Total Suspended Particulate Matter (1/18/80)
C. Ice Fog (1/18/80)
D. Open Burning—Forest Practices (9/29/82
Section IV. *Point Source Control Efforts*

HeinOnline -- 48 Fed. Reg. 30625 (1983)

A. Summary (1/18/80)
B. Description of Source Categories and Pollutants (1/18/80)
C. Summary of Major Emitting Sources (9/29/82)
D. History of Alaskan Point Source Program (9/29/82)
F. Local Program Enforcement (9/29/82)
G. New Source Review and Approval (9/29/82)
H. Compliance Assurance (9/29/82)
I. State Air Quality Control Regulations (11/1/82)

Section V. *Ambient Air Monitoring*
A. Purpose (1/18/80)
B. Description of Previous Air Monitoring Network (1/18/80)
C. Air Monitoring Network (9/29/82)
E. Annual Review (9/29/82)

Volumn III. Appendices

I-1 Summary of Public Hearings, Written Testimony, etc. (1/18/80)
I-2 Recommendations for attainment/nonattainment designations (1/18/80)
II-I Alaska Statutes, except section 46.03.170 (1/18/80)
II-2 Regulations of the Fairbanks North Star Borough, except section 8.04.070 (1/18/80)
II-3 Fairbanks North Star Borough/Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Agreement (1/18/80)
II-4 Municipality of Anchorage/Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Agreement (1/18/80)
II-5 Alaska State Department of Law Legal Opinion (2/29/80)
III-1 A Review of Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Motor Vehicles during Cold Temperature Operation (1/18/80)
III-2 Cold Weather Related Strategy Support Development (1/18/80)
III-3 Preliminary Assessment of Meteorological Conditions during Days of Ambient Air Quailty Violations in Anchorage (1/18/80)
III-4 Summary of the 1978 Fairbanks Voluntary Vehicle Emissions Inspection Program (1/18/80)
III-5 Approach to Evaluating an Alaska I/M Program (1/18/80)
III-6 Appendices to the Anchorage Air Quality Plan (1/18/80)
III-7 Appendices to the Fairbanks Air Quality Plan (1/18/80)
IV-1 Summaries of Emission Inventories (1/18/80)
IV-2 D Permit to Operate for the Fairbanks Municipal Utilities System (1/18/80)
IV-3 Testing Procedures (1/18/80)
IV-4 ADEC Ambient Analysis Procedures (9/29/82)
V-1 Air Quality Data (1/18/80)
Section V: Air Episode Plan (4/21/72)

Note.—Volume I is a public information document which has not been submitted for inclusion in the SIP.

7. Section 52.96 is revised to read as follows:

§ 52.96 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a) The State of Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation Air Quality Control Regulations (specifically 18 AAC 50.020, 50.021, 50.300, 50.400, 50.510, 50.520, 50.530, 50.600, 50.620, and 50.900) and the State air quality control plan (specifically Section I.B. *Air Quality Control Regions*, Section I. C. *Attainment/Nonattainment Designations*, Section IV. G. *New Source Review and Approval*, and Appendix IV-4 *ADEC Ambient Analysis Procedures*) are approved as meeting the requirements of Part C for preventing significant deterioration of air quality.

(b) The requirements of sections 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act are not met for Indian reservations since the plan does not include approvable procedures for preventing the significant deterioration of air quality on Indian reservations and, therefore, the provisions of 52.21 (b) through (w) are hereby incorporated and made part of the applicable reservation in the State of Alaska.

[FR Doc. 83-18022 Filed 7-1-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

---

40 CFR Part 52

[A-5-FRL 2342-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Michigan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rulemaking.

SUMMARY: EPA announces final rulemaking on revisions to the Michigan State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions approve Consent Orders No. 11-1982 and 12-1982 for the General Motors (GM) Corporation, Fisher Body Division, Fleetwood Plant and the Cadillac Motor Car Division, respectively. These Consent Orders provide detailed compliance schedules for surface coating operations which extend the final compliance deadlines until December 31, 1987. These facilities are located in the City of Detroit, which has an approved attainment date extension of December 31, 1987, for ozone nonattainment areas.

DATE: This action will be effective September 6, 1983 unless notice is received within 30 days that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments.

ADDRESSES: Copies of these SIP revisions and other materials relating to this rulemaking are available for inspection at the following address:

The Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street, N.W., Room 8401, Washington, D.C. 20408

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air Programs Branch, Region V, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604

Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Air Quality Division, State Secondary Government Complex, General Office Building, 7150 Harris Drive, Lansing, Michigan 48910

Written comments should be sent to: Gary Gulezian, Chief, Regulatory Analysis Section, Air Programs Branch, Region V, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Toni Lesser, Regulatory Analysis Section, Air Programs Branch, Region V, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6037.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 8, 1982, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) submitted Consent Orders for GM Corporation's Fisher Body Division, Fleetwood Plant (No. 11-1982), and the Cadillac Motor Car Division (No. 12-1982) as revisions to the Michigan SIP. These Consent Orders provide detailed compliance schedules for surface coating operations which extend the final compliance deadline until December 31, 1987. On March 10, 1983, MDNR submitted additional information clarifying elements of Consent Orders No. 11-1982 and 12-1982.

The GM Corporation's Fisher Body Division, Fleetwood Plant and the Cadillac Motor Car Division facilities are located in the City of Detroit, Wayne County, which is designated non-attainment for ozone. Michigan's 1979 attainment plan for the ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), under the provisions of Section 172(a)(2) of the Clean Air Act (CAA), Part D, extends the final attainment date for the Detroit nonattainment area until December 31, 1987.

Michigan's Rule 336.1603 requires individual sources to submit compliance schedules containing specific increments of progress, for achieving compliance with volatile organic compound (VOC) emission limits in Part 6 of the Commission's Rules. On May 6, 1980, (45 FR 29790) EPA conditionally approved R336.1603. The condition required that the State submit the individual compliance schedules to EPA as revisions to the SIP, in order that the SIP conform to the requirements of 40 CFR 51.1(Q) and 51.15. Michigan's Rule 336.1610 contains interim and final VOC

HeinOnline -- 48 Fed. Reg. 30626 (1983)