


APPENDIX E
STATE METRIC
COMPARISONS


CUMULATIVE PERFORMANCE
FISCAL YEARS 2000 -01 -02

A: Region 10 Handler ID Counts




Handlers with EPA ID numbers in RCRA Info pulled in November 2003.

B: Handlers Inspected 2000-2002




Handlers with compliance-type inspections (excluding assistance, records and other evaluation types in RCRAInfo) with enforcement outcomes evaluated for this program review.

C: Inspections % of Handlers




Estimated universe coverage calculated by dividing # handlers inspected (Chart b) by # handlers with EPA ID numbers (Chart A). States also inspect some handlers without ID numbers to find non-notifiers.

D: Violators % of Inspections




RCRA Info data, # handlers inspected (Chart B) that had one or more violations entered in the database. Percentages are not statistically valid measures of overall compliance because state programs have targeted inspections with various strategic goals in mind.

E: Formal Actions % Inspections




RCRA Info data, # handlers inspected in 2000-2002 (Chart B) which had a formal enforcement action entered in the database by December 2004. National figure is estimated from single year numbers.

F: Penalty % of Formal Cases




RCRA Info data, # of penalty cases divided by # of formal enforcement actions (Chart E). Note that Alaska had neither and all of Oregon's formal cases included penalties.

G: SNC as % of Formal Actions



RCRA Info data, # SNC divided by # of formal enforcement actions. Note that Alaska had no formal actions and 2 SNCs and Washington did formal actions only for SNCs.

H: Average Final Penalties



RCRA Info data, sum of all final penalty assessments entered divided by # penalty cases (Chart F). Note that data for penalties offset by Supplemental Environmental Projects has not been consistently entered in RCRA Info by all states, so comparisons between states are approximate.